The odd Hadwiger's conjecture is "almost" decidable

Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi*

Abstract

The concept "odd-minor" which is a generalization of minor-relation has received considerable amount of attention by many researchers, and led to several beautiful conjectures and results. We say that H has an odd complete minor of order l if there are l vertex disjoint trees in H such that every two of them are joined by an edge, and in addition, all the vertices of trees are two-colored in such a way that the edges within the trees are bichromatic, but the edges between trees are monochromatic. Hence it is easy to see that odd minor is a generalization of minor. Let us observe that the complete bipartite graph $K_{n/2,n/2}$ certainly contains a K_k -minor for $k \le n/2$, but on the other hand, it does not contain any odd K_k -minor for any $k \ge 3$. So odd-minor-closed graphs seem to be much weaker than minor-closed graphs.

The odd Hadwiger's conjecture, made by Gerads and Seymour in early 1990s, is an analogue of the famous Hadwiger's conjecture. It says that every graph with no odd K_t -minor is (t-1)-colorable. This conjecture is known to be true for $t \leq 5$, but the cases $t \geq 5$ are wide open. So far, the most general result says that every graph with no odd K_t -minor is $O(t\sqrt{\log t})$ -colorable.

In this paper, we tackle this conjecture from an algorithmic view, and show the following:

For a given graph G and any fixed t, there is a polynomial time algorithm to output one of the following:

- 1. a (t-1)-coloring of G, or
- 2. an odd K_t -minor of G, or
- 3. after making all "reductions" to G, the resulting graph H (which is an odd minor of G and which has no reductions) has a tree-decomposition (T,Y) such that torso of each bag Y_t is either
 - of size at most $f_1(t) \log n$ for some function f_1 of t, or
 - a graph that has a vertex X of order at most $f_2(t)$ for some function f_2 of t such that $Y_t X$ is bipartite. Moreover, degree of t in T is at most $f_3(t)$ for some function f_3 of t.

Let us observe that the last odd minor H is indeed a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t. From this we obtain the following:

For a given graph G and any fixed t, there is a polynomial time algorithm to output one of the following:

- 1. a (t-1)-coloring of G, or
- 2. an odd K_t -minor of G, or
- 3. after making all "reductions" to G, we can color the resulting graph H (which is an odd minor of G and which has no reductions) with at most $\chi(H)+1$ colors in polynomial time, where $\chi(H)$ is the chromatic number of H.

In the last conclusion, we can actually figure out whether or not H contains an odd K_t -minor.

17 May 2015, revised .

Keywords: Odd Hadwiger's Conjecture, the Four Color Theorem, Polynomial algorithm.

^{*}National Institute of Informatics, 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan. Research is partly supported by JST ERATO Kawarabayashi Large Graph Project and Mitsubishi Foundation. Email: k_keniti@nii.ac.jp

1 Introduction

1.1 Hadwiger's conjecture

Hadwiger's Conjecture, dated back from 1943, suggests a far-reaching generalization of the Four Color Theorem [1, 2, 38]. Definitely one of the deepest open problems in graph theory. It says that any graph without K_k as a minor is (k-1)-colorable. Let us give some known results for Hadwiger's conjecture (for more details, see [23]). In 1937, Wagner [54] proved that the case k=5 of the conjecture is, indeed, equivalent to the Four Color Theorem. In 1993, Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [49] proved that the case k=6 would follow from the Four Color Theorem. The cases $k\geq 7$ are wide open, and even for the case k=7, the partial result in [25] has been best known. It is known that any graph G without K_k as a minor is $O(k\sqrt{\log k})$ -colorable, see [33, 51], but currently, this result is best known for the general case.

1.2 The Odd Hadwiger's conjecture

Recently, the concept "odd-minor" has drawn attention by many researchers, because of its relation to graph minor theory, and Hadwiger's conjecture. Formally, we say that H has an odd complete minor of order l if there are l vertex disjoint trees in H such that every two of them are joined by an edge, and in addition, all the vertices of trees can be two-colored in such a way that the edges within the trees are bichromatic, but the edges between trees are monochromatic. Hence it is easy to see that odd minor is a generalization of minor.

Odd-minor-closed graphs seem to be much weaker than minor-closed graphs. Indeed, the complete bipartite graph $K_{n/2,n/2}$ certainly contains a K_k -minor for $k \leq n/2$, but on the other hand, it does not contain any odd K_k -minor for any $k \geq 3$. In fact, any graph G without K_k -minors is $O(k\sqrt{\log k})$ -degenerate, i.e, every induced subgraph has a vertex of degree at most $O(k\sqrt{\log k})$ ([33, 51]). So G has at most $O(k\sqrt{\log k}n)$ edges. On the other hand, some graphs with no odd- K_k -minor may have $\Theta(n^2)$ edges.

This seems to make huge difference. On the other hand, odd minors are actually motivated by graph minor theory, and many researchers believe that there would be some analogue of graph minor theory, and some connections to the well-known conjecture of Hadwiger [21].

Concerning the connection to Hadwiger's conjecture, Gerards and Seymour (see [23], page 115.) conjectured the following.

Conjecture 1.1 For all $l \geq 1$, every graph with no odd K_{l+1} minor is l-colorable.

This is an analogue of Hadwiger's conjecture. In fact, it is easy to see that Conjecture 1.1 immediately implies Hadwiger's conjecture. Again, Conjecture 1.1 is trivially true when l = 1, 2. In fact, when l = 2, this means that if a graph has no odd cycles, then it is 2-colorable.

The first nontrivial case l=3 was proved by Catlin [6]. Recently, Guenin [20] announced a solution of the case l=4. This result would imply the Four Color Theorem because a graph having an odd K_5 -minor certainly contains a K_5 -minor. Conjecture 1.1 is open for $l \geq 5$. The only general result is the following.

Theorem 1.2 Any graph with no odd K_k -minor is $O(k\sqrt{\log k})$ -colorable.

This is clearly a generalization of the above mentioned result by Kostochka and Thomason [33, 51] for the general case on Hadwiger's conjecture. This is first proved by Geelen et al. [15]. A simpler and shorter proof is given in [24].

1.3 Structure theorem

As mentioned above, the concept "odd-minor" is motivated by the theory of graph minors which was developed by Robertson and Seymour in a series of 23 papers published over more than thirty years. The purpose of the series of papers is to prove the $graph \ minor \ theorem$, which says that in any infinite collection of finite graphs there is one that is a minor of another. As with other deep results in mathematics, the body of theory developed for the proof has also found applications not only in mathematics and but also in computer science. Yet many of these applications rely on an auxiliary result which is central to the proof of the graph minor theorem: a result which approximately describes the structure of all graphs G which do not contain some fixed graph H as a minor, see [45]. At a high level, the theorem says that every such a graph has a tree-decomposition such that each piece is

after deleting bounded number of vertices, an "almost" embedded graph (for precise definition, see later) into a bounded-genus surface.

Recently, similar structure results are obtained for graphs without some fixed graph H as an odd-minor [8]. Namely, every graph with no odd H-minor has a tree-decomposition such that each piece is either

- 1. after deleting bounded number of vertices, an "almost" embedded graph into a bounded-genus surface, or
- 2. after deleting bounded number of vertices, a bipartite graph.

As we see here, in addition to the minor-free case, for the odd-minor-free case, we only need to add the second conclusion for the decomposition theorem. Our purpose in this paper is to use this structure theorem to attack the odd Hadwiger's conjecture.

1.4 Results

Let G be a graph satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) G is t-chromatic.
- (2) G is minimal with respect to the odd-minor-relation in the class of all t-chromatic graphs.
- (3) G does not contain K_t as an odd minor.

We call such a graph G a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t. Conjecture 1.1 suggests there are no such graphs. Here odd-minor-operation means the following:

- 1. First, delete edges.
- 2. Then take a cut that consists of edges R. Then contract ALL edges in R.

It has been known that odd-minors are closed under the odd-minor-relations (see e.g., [16]). We say G has a reduction if there is an odd-minor-operation to G such that any (t-1)-coloring of the resulting graph can be extended to a (t-1)-coloring of G. Let us consider the following case: suppose there is a separation (A, B) of G such that both $A - B \neq \emptyset$ and $B - A \neq \emptyset$. Suppose furthermore that there is a (t-1)-coloring σ of A. Then we may reduce A onto $A \cap B$ via odd-minor-operations so that the resulting graph of B has a (t-1)-coloring that extends the coloring of σ on $A \cap B$. If this happens, we also say that there is a reduction in G. Hence if G is a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t, then there is no reduction in G.

The following result, which is closely related to the minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t, has been known from [27].

Theorem 1.3 For every fixed k, there is an algorithm with running time $O(n^3)$ for deciding either that

- (1) a given graph G of order n is 2496k-colorable, or
- (2) G contains an odd- K_k -minor, or
- (3) G contains an odd minor H of bounded size which does not contain an odd- K_k -minor and has no 2496k-coloring.

Unfortunately this result does not give any information for minimal counterexamples to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t. Motivated by this fact, our purpose of this paper is to prove the following:

Theorem 1.4 For a given graph G and any fixed t, there is a polynomial time algorithm to output one of the following:

- 1. a(t-1)-coloring of G, or
- 2. an odd K_t -minor of G, or
- 3. after making all reductions to G, the resulting graph H (which is an odd minor of G and which has no reductions) has a tree-decomposition (T,Y) such that torso of each bag Y_t is either
 - of size at most $f_1(t) \log n$ for some function f_1 of t, or
 - a graph that has a vertex X of order at most $f_2(t)$ for some function f_2 of t such that $Y_t X$ is bipartite. Moreover, degree of t in T is at most $f_3(t)$ for some function f_3 of t.

Let us observe that the last odd minor H is indeed a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t. So we will show that any minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t satisfies the structure as in the third conclusion. Here, torso of a bag means that we can add all missing edges to $Y_t \cap Y_{t'}$ for all $tt' \in T$, but then the resulting bags of the tree-decomposition still satisfy the third conclusion of Theorem 1.4.

Let us point out that in order to obtain our theorem, we have to deal with the following structure:

G has a vertex set of order at most t-5 such that G-X is planar.

Indeed, this structure appears in our proof. It follows from the Four Color Theorem that G is (t-1)-colorable.

If we only obtain the first or the second conclusion of Theorem 1.4, we can "decide" Conjecture 1.1 for the case t. However, if we obtain the third conclusion, then it is not clear how to decide Conjecture 1.1 for the case t. Indeed, suppose G has a vertex X of order at most $f_2(t)$ for some function f_2 of t such that G - X is bipartite. Then it is not so difficult to figure out whether or not G has an odd K_t -minor. (Essentially, we can reduce to the minor-testing algorithm [44]. Let us give a sketch of the proof. If there is an odd K_t -minor in this graph G, then there are only constantly many possibilities for X to be participated in this odd K_t -minor. Hence we just need to figure out the existence of a "label" minor in $(G - X) \cup X'$ with respect to specified vertices in $X' \subseteq X$.)

But it is not clear if G is (t-1)-colorable. Suppose G-X has a bipartition (A,B). Then we can figure out the chromatic number of $X \cup A$ (and $X \cup B$). This implies that we can color G with at most $\chi(G) + 1$ colors, where $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of G. However, if the chromatic number of $X \cup A$ or $X \cup B$ is t-1, it is not clear if G is (t-1)-colorable.

This is in contrast with Hadwiger's conjecture, which is decidable for the case t, as proved by Robertson and Seymour (private communication, see also [25]), as follows:

Theorem 1.5 For a given graph G and any fixed t, there is a polynomial time algorithm to output one of the following:

- 1. a(t-1)-coloring of G, or
- 2. $a K_t$ -minor of G, or
- 3. a minor H of G of tree-width at most f(t) such that H does not have a K_t -minor nor is (t-1)colorable.

The last conclusion implies that H is a counterexample to Hadwiger's conjecture of tree-width at most f(t) for the case t. So we can "decide" Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t.

Concerning Conjecture 1.1, we can only give the following conclusion from Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.6 For a given graph G and any fixed t, there is a polynomial time algorithm to output one of the following:

- 1. a(t-1)-coloring of G, or
- 2. an odd K_t -minor of G, or
- 3. after making all reductions to G, we can color the resulting graph H (which is an odd minor of G and which has no reductions) with at most $\chi(H) + 1$ colors in polynomial time.

In the last conclusion, we can actually figure out whether or not H contains an odd K_t -minor.

Proof: We only need to deal with 3 of Theorem 1.4. For each bag Y_t that is "nearly" bipartite (i.e., the second outcome), we take a vertex set X' in $Y_t - X$ that is attached to a children bag. So $|X'| \leq f_3(t)$. We add the vertices in X' to X. Hence after this modifications, $Y_t - X$ is a bipartite graph (A_{Y_t}, B_{Y_t}) such that children bags of Y_t are attached only to X. We delete B_{Y_t} from Y_t . Let Y''_t be the resulting bag. Then Y''_t consists of a tree-decomposition of width $f_2(t) + f_3(t) + 1$ whose abstract tree is a star. Let Y'_t be the center bag of this tree-decomposition. Let $\mathcal{Y}_2 = \bigcup Y''_t$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}_1 = \bigcup Y_t$ where Y_t is not nearly bipartite (hence Y_t is not a bag that has Y_t'' in \mathcal{Y}_2). Let $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y}_1 \cup \mathcal{Y}_2$. Since each bag Y_t'' in \mathcal{Y}_2 is of tree-width at most $f_2(t) + f_3(t) + 1$ with the center bag Y_t' and children bags of Y_t are attached only to X, \mathcal{Y}' induces a graph of tree-width at most $f_1(t) \log n$ for some function f_1 of t (with $f_1(t) \geq f_2(t) + f_3(t) + 1$).

Thus we can construct a tree-decomposition of the graph H' induced by \mathcal{Y}' in polynomial time (see Theorem 3.1 below) and then color the graph using the standard dynamic programming approach in polynomial time (see Theorem 3.2 below). This allows us to color the graph H' with $\chi(H')$ colors.

Now for each Y_t having (A_{Y_t}, B_{Y_t}) (i.e., Y_t is "nearly" bipartite), we consider B_{Y_t} . By our construction, for any $t, t' \in T$ with $t \neq t'$, B_{Y_t} has no neighbors in $B_{Y_{t'}}$. Hence we need only one color for $\bigcup B_{Y_t}$. So by adding $\bigcup B_{Y_t}$ (with one color) to H' (with the coloring using $\chi(H')$ colors), we obtain a $(\chi(H)+1)$ -coloring of H, as claimed in the third conclusion.

To figure out whether or not H has an odd K_t -minor, we can actually use the dynamic programming approach. Indeed we can figure out whether or not H' contains an odd K_t -minor in polynomial time by the standard dynamic programming approach (see Theorem 3.3 below). We then use this dynamic programming information to update the information for each Y_t having (A_{Y_t}, B_{Y_t}) . To do so, we just point out that it is not hard to figure out whether or not Y_t has an odd K_t -minor by using the usual minor testing [30, 44], see [31] (since Y_t is nearly bipartite). We have already gave a sketch of the proof for testing whether or not a nearly bipartite graph has an odd K_t -minor.

This allows us to update the dynamic programming information for Y_t . The rest is the same as the standard dynamic programming for the graphs of small tree-width (i.e., Theorem 3.3 below). We omit the proof.

Let us point out that our proof would be much simpler if we only consider Hadwiger's conjecture. In this case, we only obtain the first structure of 3 in Theorem 1.4, and the large part of the proof in

this paper would be gone. So our proof implies Theorem 1.5 with the bound on tree-width f(t) replaced by $f(t) \log n$, which is still enough to decide Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t (because the standard dynamic programming approach (see Theorem 3.2 below) can handle graph coloring and minor testing for a graph of tree-width $O(\log n)$). This may be of independent interest.

It remains to show Theorem 1.4.

2 Overview of our main result

We sketch a proof of Theorem 1.4.

We may assume that a given graph G is a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case $t \geq 6$. For otherwise, we can perform a reduction. Then we apply the whole argument to the resulting graph. So we now assume that there is no more reduction for G.

We first apply the above mentioned structure theorem for odd K_t -minor-free graphs [8]. Namely, every graph with no odd K_t -minor has a tree-decomposition (T, Y) such that each piece Y_t is either

- 1. after deleting bounded number $\alpha = \alpha(t)$ of vertices Z_t , $Y_t Z_t$ can be embedded in a surface Σ of Euler genus g, up to 3-separations, with at most α vortices \mathcal{V} (c.f., α -nearly embedded, see Section 4 for more details), or
- 2. after deleting bounded number α of vertices Z_t , $Y_t Z_t$ is a bipartite graph.

We can actually construct such a tree-decomposition (T, Y) in polynomial time [8]. If tree-width of G is at most $f(\alpha) \log n$ (for some function of α), we are done. So assume that this is not the case.

There are two cases we have to consider:

Case 1. There is a bag Y_t which is nearly bipartite (i.e., the second).

We can confirm that the number of children bags of Y_t is bounded in terms of α (otherwise, we can perform a "reduction" of G, which implies that G is no longer a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t, a contradiction). This indeed confirms the degree condition on Y_t in the second conclusion of 3 in Theorem 1.4.

Case 2. There is a bag Y_t that is α -nearly embedded (i.e., the first).

Let G_0 be the surface part of $Y_t - Z_t$. Since $Y_t - Z_t$ is α -nearly embedded, there may be some components \mathcal{W} attached to faces of G_0 , up to 3-separations.

We now divide W into two sets W_1 and W_2 such that W_1 consists of induced bipartite graphs, and W_2 consists of non bipartite graphs. Note that for each bipartite graph $W' \in W_1$, W' has to have at least t-5 neighbors in Z_t , for otherwise, any (t-1)-coloring of $G-(W'-G_0)$ can be easily extended to a (t-1)-coloring of the whole graph G (so we have a reduction, a contradiction).

It turns out that there are bounded number (say $g_1(\alpha)$) of disks \mathcal{D}_1 of bounded radius that cover all the components in \mathcal{W}_2 (otherwise, we can make a reduction of G, a contradiction).

We take a vertex set U in G_0 such that each vertex in U has at least t-6 neighbors in Z_t (at least t-5 neighbors in Z_t when Σ is sphere). We also take a set of odd faces \emptyset in G_0 .

Let us assume the following(*):

Suppose there are at least $l \geq g_2(\alpha)$ faces in G_0 that are pairwise "far apart", such that each face either

- 1. contains a vertex in U or,
- 2. accommodates a component in \mathcal{W}_1 .

In this case, we can show that there are bounded number (say $g_2(\alpha)$) of disks \mathcal{D}_2 of bounded radius that cover all odd faces in \emptyset in G_0 . So in this case, after deleting disks in $\mathcal{D}_1 \cup D_2$ and disks \mathcal{D}_V that accommodate vortices, the resulting graph S in G_0 has no odd face.

With a little more work, we can, indeed, show that the resulting graph S is bipartite (otherwise there is an odd K_t -minor). Using this fact, we try to give an essentially 2-coloring of S, that extends a (t-1)-coloring of the whole graph minus S. This is possible if we are allowed to precolor vertices that are very close to disks we deleted. More precisely, we shall show that

[(1)] if there are vertices R in the surface part of G_0 that are face-distance at least $f'(\alpha) \log n$ from all the disks we deleted, for some function f' of α , then we can delete these vertices R safely so that we can make a reduction (a contradiction). More precisely, no matter how we color G - R with (t - 1)-colors, we can extend this coloring to a (t - 1)-coloring of R (hence a (t - 1)-coloring of the whole graph G, a contradiction).

From this fact, with some work (using the result of Epstein [14] which says that, for a planar graph G with the outer face boundary C, if there is no face that is of face-distance at least $\log n$, then tree-width of G is at most $6 \log n$), we can show the following:

[(2)] If tree-width of Y_t is at least $f(t) \log n$, we can perform a "reduction" for G (i.e., G is no longer a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t, a contradiction).

Note that α depends on t. So it remains to consider the case when the assumption (*) is not satisfied. In this case, there are at most l disks \mathcal{D}_3 that cover all the vertices in U and all the components in \mathcal{W}_1 . So after deleting disks in $\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_3$ and disks \mathcal{D}_V that accommodate vortices, the resulting graph S in G_0 is 2-cell embedded in a surface. Using this fact, we try to give a 5-coloring S, that extends a (t-1)-coloring of the whole graph minus S. This is possible if we are allowed to precolor vertices that are very close to disks we deleted. We also obtain the above (1) and (2) for this case too. The point here is that in R every vertex has at most t-7 neighbors in Z_t (t-6 neighbors in Z_t if Σ is sphere). This allows us to use the recent list coloring results for graphs in surfaces [9, 13, 52].

At the moment, either we can make a reduction (a contradiction) or we obtain the structure as in Theorem 1.4.

In the next section, we shall give some definitions.

3 Preliminaries for the rest of the paper

In this paper, n and m always mean the number of vertices of a given graph and the number of edges of a given graph, respectively. With a sloppy notation, for a graph G_1 and for a vertex set Q, we use $G_1 \cap Q$ to be the vertex of the intersection.

We now look at definitions of the tree-width and the clique model.

Tree-width Let G be a graph, T a tree and let $Y = \{Y_t \subseteq V(G) \mid t \in V(T)\}$ be a family of vertex sets $Y_t \subseteq V(G)$ indexed by the vertices t of T. The pair (T, Y) is called a *tree-decomposition* of G if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- $V(G) = \bigcup_{t \in T} Y_t$,
- for every edge $e \in E(G)$ there exists a $t \in T$ such that both ends of e lie in Y_t ,
- if $t, t', t'' \in V(T)$ and t' lies on the path of T between t and t'', then $Y_t \cap Y_{t''} \subseteq Y_{t'}$.

The width of (T, Y) is the number $\max\{|Y_t| - 1 \mid t \in T\}$ and the tree-width $\operatorname{tw}(G)$ of G is the minimum width of any tree-decomposition of G. Sometime, we refer Y_t to as a bag.

Robertson and Seymour developed the first polynomial time algorithm for constructing a tree decomposition of a graph of bounded tree-width [44], and eventually came up with an $O(n^2)$ time algorithm for this problem. Reed [37] developed an algorithm for the problem which runs in $O(n \log n)$ time, and then Bodlaender [4] developed a linear time algorithm. This algorithm was further improved in [36].

Theorem 3.1 For an integer w, there exists a $(2^{O(w)})n^{O(1)}$ time algorithm that, given a graph G, either finds a tree-decomposition of G of width w or concludes that the tree-width of G is more than w. Furthermore, if w is fixed, there exists an O(n) time algorithm to construct one of them.

We can apply dynamic programming to solve the graph coloring problem on graphs of bounded treewidth, in the same way that we apply it to trees, provided that we are given a bounded width tree decomposition (see e.g. [3]). Thus Theorem 3.1 together with [3] and [22] implies the following.

Theorem 3.2 For integers w and k, there exists a $(2^{O(kw)})n^{O(1)}$ time algorithm to determine $\chi(G)$ in a graph G of tree-width w. Moreover, if w and k are fixed, there exists an O(n) time algorithm to color G using $\chi(G)$ colors.

By the same way, we can actually obtain the following too (see [31])

Theorem 3.3 For integers w and k, there exists a $(2^{O(kw)})n^{O(1)}$ time algorithm to determine whether or not G has an odd K-model in a graph G of tree-width w (where |K| = k). Moreover, if w and k are fixed, there exists an O(n) time algorithm for this problem.

Clique model For an integer p, K_p is the complete graph with p vertices.

A graph G contains a K_p -model if there exists a function σ with domain $V(K_p) \cup E(K_p)$ such that

- 1. for each vertex $v \in V(K_p)$, $\sigma(v)$ is a connected subgraph of G, and the subgraphs $\sigma(v)$ ($v \in V(K_p)$) are pairwise vertex-disjoint, and
- 2. for each edge $e = uv \in E(K_p)$, $\sigma(e)$ is an edge $f \in E(G)$, such that f is incident in G with a vertex in $\sigma(u)$ and with a vertex in $\sigma(v)$.

Thus G contains a K_p -minor if and only if G contains a K_p -model. We call the subgraph $\sigma(v)$ ($v \in V(K_p)$) the node of the K_p -model. The image of σ , which is a subgraph of G, is called the K_p -model.

We say that a K_p -model is *even* if the union of the nodes of the K_p -model consists of a bipartite graph. We also say that a K_p -model is *odd* if for each cycle C in the union of the nodes of the K_p -model, the number of edges in C that belong to nodes of the K_p -model is even. Thus if there is an odd K_p -model, then there is a 2-coloring such that each node is bichromatic while each edge joining two nodes is monochromatic.

4 Structure Theorems

In the next section, we shall give a structure theorem for graphs without odd K_t -model. In order to present that, we need to give several definitions concerning Robertson-Seymour's graph minor structure theorem.

4.1 Tangles

Let G be a graph and let A, B be subgraphs of G. We say that the pair (A, B) is a separation of G if $A \cup B = G$, $V(A) - V(B) \neq \emptyset$, and $V(B) - V(A) \neq \emptyset$. The order of a separation (A, B) is $|V(A) \cap V(B)|$.

A tangle of order k of G is a set \mathfrak{T} of separations of G of order < k satisfying the following there conditions.

- 1. For all separations (A, B) of G of order $\langle k, \text{ either } (A, B) \in \mathfrak{T} \text{ or } (B, A) \in \mathfrak{T}$.
- 2. If $(A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2), (A_3, B_3) \in \mathfrak{T}$ then $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \neq G$.

Note that if $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{T}$ then $(B, A) \notin \mathfrak{T}$; we think of B as the 'big side' of the separation (A, B), with respect to this tangle (and similarly A is the "small side").

Let \mathfrak{T} be a tangle of order at least p. We say that a K_p -model is controlled by the tangle \mathfrak{T} if no node of the K_p -model is contained in A - B of any separation $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{T}$ of order at most p - 1.

4.2 Societies and Vortices

A society is a pair (G,Ω) , where G is a graph and Ω a cyclic permutation of a subset $V(\Omega)$ of V(G) (we call $V(\Omega)$ society vertices). Note that for every $w \in V(\Omega)$ we have $V(\Omega) = {\Omega^{j}(w) \mid 0 \leq j < |V(\Omega)|}$. The length of a society (G,Ω) is $|V(\Omega)|$.

A society (G,Ω) of length ℓ is a ρ -vortex if for all $w \in V(\Omega)$ and $k \in [\ell]$ there do not exist $(\rho + 1)$ mutually disjoint paths of G between $\{\Omega^j(w) \mid 0 \le j < k\}$ and $\{\Omega^j(w) \mid k \le j < \ell\}$.

A linear decomposition of a society (G,Ω) of length ℓ is a sequence $(X_i)_{0 \le i < \ell}$ of subsets of V(G) such that

- 1. $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell-1} X_i = V(G)$.
- 2. $X_i \cap X_k \subseteq X_j$ for $0 \le i \le j \le k < \ell$.
- 3. There is a $x_0 \in V(\Omega)$ such that $\Omega^i(x_0) \in X_i$ for $0 \le i < \ell$.

The width of the linear decomposition $(X_i)_{0 \le i < \ell}$ is $\max\{|X_i| \mid 0 \le i < \ell\}$, and the depth of $(X_i)_{0 \le i < \ell}$ is $\max\{|X_i \cap X_{i+1}| \mid 0 \le i < \ell-1\}$. Sometimes X_i is called a bag (of a linear decomposition of a society (G,Ω)).

The following is proved in [40]:

Lemma 4.1 If a society (G,Ω) is an α -vortex then it has a linear decomposition of depth at most α . Moreover, such a decomposition can be found in $O(n^2)$ time.

Note that the algorithmic result follows from the proof in [40] (or see [48] for an easier description of the algorithm). A stronger result is given in [17] too.

4.3 Near Embeddings

Robertson and Seymour's main theorem is concerning the structure capturing a big side with respect to a tangle. We now mention one version of their result. We follow the notations in [11], but let us repeat some of them for a self-contained reason.

For a positive integer α , a graph G is α -nearly embeddable in a surface Σ if there is a subset $Z \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Z| \leq \alpha$ (i.e., the apex vertex set), two sets $\mathcal{V} = \{(G_1, \Omega_1), \dots, (G_{\alpha'}, \Omega_{\alpha'})\}$, where $\alpha' \leq \alpha$, and $\mathcal{W} = \{(G_{\alpha'+1}, \Omega_{\alpha'+1}), \dots, (G_n, \Omega_n)\}$ of societies, and a graph G_0 such that the following conditions are satisfied.

1.
$$G-Z=G_0\cup G_1\cup\ldots\cup G_n$$
.

- 2. For all $1 \le i \le j \le n$ and $\Omega_i := V(G_i \cap G_0)$, the pairs $(G_i, \Omega_i) =: V_i$ are vortices and $G_i \cap G_j \subseteq G_0$ when $i \ne j$.
- 3. The vortices $V_1, \ldots, V_{\alpha'}$ are disjoint and have adhesion at most α ; we denote the set of these vortices by \mathcal{V} . We will sometimes refer to these vortices as *large* vortices.
- 4. The vortices $V_{\alpha'+1}, \ldots, V_n$ have length at most 3; we denote the set of these vortices by \mathcal{W} . These are the *small* vortices of the near-embedding.
- 5. There are closed discs in Σ with disjoint interiors D_1, \ldots, D_n and an embedding $\sigma : G_0 \hookrightarrow \Sigma \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$ such that $\sigma(G_0) \cap \partial D_i = \sigma(\Omega_i)$ for all i and the generic linear ordering of Ω_i is compatible with the natural cyclic ordering of its image (i.e., coincides with the linear ordering of $\sigma(\Omega_i)$ induced by [0,1) when ∂D_i is viewed as a suitable homeomorphic copy of $[0,1]/\{0,1\}$). For $i=1,\ldots,n$ we think of the disc D_i as accommodating the (unembedded) vortex V_i , and denote D_i as $D(V_i)$.

We call $(\sigma, G_0, Z, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ an α -near embedding of G in Σ or just near-embedding if the bound is clear from the context.

Let G'_0 be the graph resulting from G_0 by joining any two nonadjacent vertices $u, v \in G_0$ that lie in a common vortex $V \in \mathcal{W}$; the new edge uv of G'_0 will be called a *virtual edge*. By embedding these virtual edges disjointly in the disks Δ accommodating their vortex V, we extend our embedding $\sigma \colon G_0 \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ to an embedding $\sigma' \colon G'_0 \hookrightarrow \Sigma$. We shall not normally distinguish G'_0 from its image in Σ under σ' .

A near-embedding $(\sigma, G_0, Z, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ is controlled by a tangle \mathfrak{T} , if for all $(H, \Omega) \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W}$ there is no $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{T}$ with $Z \subseteq V(A \cap B)$ and $B \setminus Z \subseteq H$.

A cycle C in Σ is flat if C bounds an open disk D(C) in Σ . A flat triangle is a boundary triangle if it bounds a disk that is a face of G'_0 in Σ . Disjoint cycles C_1, \ldots, C_n in Σ are concentric if they bound disks $D_1 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq D_n$ in Σ . A path system \mathcal{P} (i.e, a set of disjoint paths) intersects C_1, \ldots, C_n orthogonally if every path P in \mathcal{P} intersects each of the cycles in a (possibly trivial) subpath of P.

For a near-embedding $(\sigma, G_0, A, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ of some graph G in a surface Σ and a vortex $V \in \mathcal{V}$, let C_1, \ldots, C_n be cycles in G'_0 that are concentric in Σ . The cycles C_1, \ldots, C_n enclose V if $D(C_n) \setminus \partial D(C_n)$ contains $\Omega(V)$. They tightly enclose V if the following holds:

For every vertex $v \in V(C_k)$ and for all $1 \le k \le n$, there is a vertex $w \in \Omega(V)$ such that the face distance of v and w in Σ is at most n - k + 2.

For positive integers r, define a graph H_r as follows. Let P_1, \ldots, P_r be r vertex disjoint ('horizontal') paths of length r-1, say $P_i = v_1^i \ldots v_r^i$. Let $V(H_r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r V(P_i)$, and let

$$E(H_r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E(P_i) \cup \left\{ v_j^i v_j^{i+1} \mid i, j \text{ odd}; \ 1 \le i < r; \ 1 \le j \le r \right\}$$
$$\cup \left\{ v_j^i v_j^{i+1} \mid i, j \text{ even}; \ 1 \le i < r; \ 1 \le j \le r \right\}.$$

The 6-cycles in H_r are its *bricks*. In the natural plane embedding of H_r , these bound its 'finite' faces. The outer cycle of the unique maximal 2-connected subgraph of H_r is the *boundary cycle* of H_r .

Any subdivision $H = TH_r$ of H_r will be called an r-wall or a wall of size r. The bricks and the boundary cycle of H are its subgraphs that form subdivisions of the bricks and the boundary cycle of H_r , respectively. An embedding of H in a surface Σ is a flat embedding, and H is flat in Σ , if the boundary cycle C of H bounds a disk D(H) that contains a vertex of degree 3 of H - C.

A closed curve C in Σ is genus-reducing if the (one or two) surfaces obtained by capping the holes of the components of $\Sigma \setminus C$ have smaller genus than Σ . Note that if C separates Σ and one of the two resulting surfaces is homeomorphic to S^2 , the other is homeomorphic to Σ . Hence in this case C is not genus-reducing.

The representativity of an embedding $G \hookrightarrow \Sigma \not\simeq S^2$ is the smallest integer k such that every genus-reducing curve C in Σ that meets G only in vertices meets it in at least k vertices.

An α -near embedding $(\sigma, G_0, A, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ of a graph G in some surface Σ is δ -rich for some integer δ if the following statements hold:

- (i) G'_0 contains a flat r-wall H for an integer $r \geq \delta$.
- (ii) The representativity of G'_0 in Σ is at least δ .
- (iii) For every vortex $V \in \mathcal{V}$ there are δ concentric cycles $C_1(V), \ldots, C_{\delta}(V)$ in G'_0 tightly enclosing V and bounding open disks $D_1(V) \supseteq \ldots \supseteq D_{\delta}(V)$, such that $D_{\delta}(V)$ contains $\Omega(V)$ and D(H) does not intersect $D_1(V) \cup C_1(V)$. For distinct vortices $V, W \in \mathcal{V}$, the disks $\overline{D_1(V)}$ and $\overline{D_1(W)}$ are disjoint. (Sometime, the cycle $C_1(V)$ is called the outermost cycle, and the cycle $C_{\delta}(V)$ is called the innermost cycle.)
- (iv) Every two vortices in \mathcal{V} have distance at least δ in Σ .
- (v) For every vortex $V \in \mathcal{V}$, its set of society vertices $\Omega(V)$ is linked in G'_0 to branch vertices of H by a path system $\mathcal{P}(V)$ of δ disjoint paths having no inner vertices in H.
- (vi) For every vortex $V \in \mathcal{V}$, the path system $\mathcal{P}(V)$ intersects the cycles $C_1(V), \ldots, C_{\delta}(V)$ orthogonally.
- (vii) All vortices in W are properly attached to G_0 .

We are now ready to state the Robertson and Seymour's main theorem, Theorem (3.1), in [45]. This theorem is concerning the structure relative to big sides of separations of small order, with respect to a given tangle \mathfrak{T} of large order. Actually, we use the following more subtle version of Theorem (3.1) in [45], which is shown in [11].

Theorem 4.2 For every graph R there is an integer α such that for every integer δ^1 there is an integer $w = w(R, \delta)$ such that the following holds. Every graph G with a tangle $\mathfrak T$ of order at least w that does not contain R as a minor has an α -near, δ -rich embedding in some surface Σ in which R cannot be embedded. Moreover, this α -near, δ -rich embedding is controlled by this tangle $\mathfrak T$.

Given a tangle \mathfrak{T} , a polynomial time algorithm to construct one of the conclusions in Theorem 4.2 is given in [7, 17, 29].

5 Structure theorem for graphs without an odd K_t -minor

We now give a structure theorem for graphs without odd K_k -model.

Theorem 5.1 For every integer k and every integer δ there exist integers α and θ such that for every graph G that does not contain an odd K_k -model and every $Z \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Z| \leq 3\theta - 2$ there is a rooted tree-decomposition (with a rooted tree T and root t'') $(V_t)_{t\in T}$ of G such that for every $t\in T$, either

- 1. there is a vertex set Z'_t (an apex set) of order at most α such that $V_t Z'_t$ induces a bipartite graph, and moreover, $|V(t) \cap V(t') Z'_t| \leq 1$ for each $tt' \in T$ where t' is a children of t, or
- 2. there is a surface Σ_t of Euler genus α , and the torso of G_t (i.e., obtained from the graph induced by V_t by making all $G_t \cap G_{t'}$ cliques for $tt' \in T$, where t' is a children of t) has an α -near, δ -rich, embedding $(\sigma_t, G_{t,0}, Z'_t, \mathcal{V}_t, \emptyset)$ into Σ_t with the following properties:

¹The proof in [11] can be easily modified so that δ can depend on α . This will be used in our proof. See Section 9 and thereafter.

- (a) All vortices have linear decompositions of width at most α .
- (b) For every $t' \in T$ with $tt' \in E(T)$ there is a vertex set X which is either
 - i. two consecutive parts of an α -vortex or
 - ii. a subset of $V(G_{t,0})$ that induces in $G_{t,0}$ a K_1 , a K_2 or a boundary triangle (i.e., it bounds a disk that is a face of $G'_{t,0}$ in Σ .)

such that $V_t \cap V_{t'} \subseteq X \cup Z'_t$.

Further, $Z \subseteq Z'_{t''}$.

In addition, given k, we can find either an odd K_k -model or such a tree-decomposition in polynomial time [8]. For the completeness, we shall give a proof of Theorem 5.1 in the appendix.

6 List-Coloring Extensions in Planar Graphs

Let G be a graph. A list-assignment is a function L which assigns to every vertex $v \in V(G)$ a set L(v) of natural numbers, which are called admissible colors for that vertex. An L-coloring of G is an assignment of admissible colors to all vertices of G, i.e., a function $c:V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $c(v) \in L(v)$ for every $v \in V(G)$, and for every edge uv we have $c(u) \neq c(v)$. If k is an integer and $|L(v)| \geq k$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then L is a k-list-assignment. The graph is k-list-colorable (or k-choosable) if it admits an L-coloring for every k-list-assignment L. If $L(v) = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ for every v, then every L-coloring is referred to as a k-coloring of G. If G admits an L-coloring (k-coloring), then we say that G is k-colorable (k-colorable).

The smallest integer k such that G is k-choosable is the list-chromatic number $\chi_l(G)$.

In [52], Thomassen proved a result which is slightly stronger than the statement that every planar graph is 5-list-colorable. This is used in our proof.

Theorem 6.1 (Thomassen [52]) Let G be a plane graph with outer facial walk C, and let x, y be adjacent vertices on C. Let L be a list-assignment for G such that $L(x) = \{\alpha\}$, $L(y) = \{\beta\}$, where $\beta \neq \alpha$, every vertex on $C \setminus \{x, y\}$ has at least three admissible colors, and every vertex that is not on C has at least five admissible colors. Then G can be L-colored.

Theorem 6.1 has the following useful corollary.

Corollary 6.2 Let G be a plane graph whose outer face C is either a 3-face or a 4-face. Let L be a 5-list-assignment for G. Then every L-coloring of C can be extended to an L-coloring of the whole graph G.

A proof is given in [8].

7 Minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture

In this section, we shall look at minimal counterexamples to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture, and give some basic properties about them. Let us remind some notations.

Let G be a graph satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) G is t-chromatic.
- (2) G is minimal with respect to the odd-minor-operation in the class of all t-chromatic graphs.
- (3) G does not contain K_t as a minor.

We call such a graph G a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t. The odd Hadwiger's conjecture suggests there are no such graphs. It is easy to see that G has minimum degree at least t-1 and we generalize this result in Lemma 7.4. We only consider cases $t \geq 6$, as other cases are already solved (see Subsection 1.2).

We need the following easy fact, whose proof is omitted.

Fact 7.1 Let u, v be two vertices of a given graph G. If G does not contain an odd path with two endvertices u, v and $G - \{u, v\}$ is connected, then $G - \{u, v\}$ can be written as $W \cup B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_l$ (for some l) such that W induces a bipartite graph (A, B) in G, B_i is not bipartite, $|B_i \cap W| \leq 1$ for each i, for any i, j with $i \neq j$ $|B_i \cap B_j| \leq 1$, and both u and v have neighbors only in A or B.

Here are a few easy facts. So we omit the proofs.

Fact 7.2 Let (A, B) be a separation of a given graph G and let $u, v \in A \cap B$. If $uv \notin E(G)$, then B - A can be reduced onto $A \cap B$, via the odd-minor-operations, with adding the edge uv, unless there is no odd path between u and v in $(B-A) \cup \{u,v\}$, in which case, $(B-A) \cup \{u,v\}$ can be written as $W \cup B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_l$ (for some l) such that W induces a bipartite graph (A', B'), B_i is not bipartite, $|B_i \cap W| \leq 1$ for each i, for any i, j with $i \neq j$ $|B_i \cap B_j| \leq 1$, and both u and v have neighbors only in A' or B'.

Fact 7.3 Let (A, B) be a separation of a given graph G and let $u, v \in A \cap B$. If $uv \notin E(G)$, then B - A can be reduced onto $A \cap B$, via the odd-minor-operations, with identifying u and v, unless there is no even path between u and v in $(B-A) \cup \{u,v\}$, in which case, $(B-A) \cup \{u,v\}$ can be written as $W \cup B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_l$ (for some l) such that W induces a bipartite graph (A', B'), B_i is not bipartite, $|B_i \cap W| \leq 1$ for each i, for any i,j with $i \neq j$ $|B_i \cap B_j| \leq 1$, and u has neighbors only in A' (B', resp.), but v has neighbors only in B' (A', resp.)

Lemma 7.4 Let G be a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t. Then minimum degree is at least t. In addition, if d(v) = (t-2) + l for some vertex $v \in V(G)$, then there is no vertex set $N' \subset N(v)$ with at least l+1 vertices that are independent.

Proof: Let v be a vertex of degree < t in G. Since G - v has a (t - 1)-coloring ϕ , so if d(v) < t - 1, then we can clearly put v back to G so that the coloring ϕ can be extended to v to give a (t - 1)-coloring of G (a contradiction). So it remains to consider the case when $d(v) \ge t - 1$. We may also assume that N(v) does not induce a complete graph.

Consider the case when d(v) = t + l - 2 and there are l + 1 vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_{l+1} \in N(v)$ such that v_1, \ldots, v_{l+1} are independent in G. We delete all edges incident with v, except for vv_1, \ldots, vv_{l+1} . Let G' be the resulting graph. Now vv_1, \ldots, vv_{l+1} consist of a cut in G' such that one side only contains v. Contract v, v_1, \ldots, v_{l+1} into a single vertex v'. These operations are odd-minor-operations, so if the resulting graph G'' has an odd K_t -minor, so does G. So we may assume that G'' has a (t-1)-coloring ϕ' . We can now extend the coloring ϕ' to a (t-1)-coloring of G because v_1, \ldots, v_{l+1} consist of an independent set (and hence they receive the same color from ϕ'), and v only sees at most (t-2) colors of ϕ' .

This implies the second conclusion, and the first conclusion also follows because if d(v) = t - 1, then N(v) does not induce a complete graph, but then there are two vertices $v_1, v_2 \in N(v)$ with $v_1v_2 \notin E(G)$ (so v_1, v_2 are independent).

The following is straightforward too.

Lemma 7.5 Let G be a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t. Then there is no separation (A,B) of order at most t-3 such that one of A-B, B-A is bipartite.

We now give a fundamental lemma that tells one structural property of G.

Lemma 7.6 Let G be a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t. Then G does not have a separation (A, B) with the following property:

For any partition of $A \cap B$ into independent sets V_1, \ldots, V_l with $l \leq t - 1$, B can be reduced onto $A \cap B$, via the odd-minor-operations, such that, all V_i are reduced into a single vertex in the resulting graph, and the resulting graph on $A \cap B$ is a clique.

Proof: We first color B. Note that $A - B \neq \emptyset$, so B is no longer a counterexample. Hence B must have a (t-1)-coloring ϕ (otherwise, B has an odd K_t -minor).

This coloring partitions the vertices of $A \cap B$ into color classes V_1, \ldots, V_l with $l \leq t - 1$. By the assumption of the lemma, we can reduce B onto $A \cap B$, via the odd-minor-operations, such that all V_i are reduced into a single vertex, and the resulting graph on $A \cap B$ is a clique. Let G' be the resulting graph of G.

By the minimality of G, G' has a (t-1)-coloring, and this coloring together with ϕ gives rise to a (t-1)-coloring of G, a contradiction.

The following lemma is easy to show (so we omit the proof).

Lemma 7.7 Let S be a vertex set of order t. Suppose there are components C_1, \ldots, C_l in G - S such that each C_i has the following property:

Each C_i has neighbors to all the vertices in S. Moreover, for any two vertices $u, v \in S$, there is an odd path between u and v in $C_i \cup \{u, v\}$

If $l \geq t^2/2$, then there is an odd K_t -minor.

We give the following straightforward lemma as well.

Lemma 7.8 Let S be an independent set of order s. Suppose there are connected components C_1, \ldots, C_l in G-S such that each C_i has neighbors to all the vertices in S. Also, suppose furthermore that, for any two vertices $u, v \in S$, there is an even path between u and v in $C_i \cup \{u, v\}$.

If $l \geq s$, then S can be contracted into a single vertex, via the odd-minor-operations, using the components C_1, \ldots, C_l .

We also need the following lemma, whose proof follows from Lemma 7.5.

Lemma 7.9 Let (A, B) be a separation of order at most t - 2 in a given graph G. Suppose that A - B is an induced bipartite graph.

No matter how we (t-1)-color B, we can extend this coloring to a (t-1)-coloring of G, unless there is a vertex in A-B that is adjacent to all vertices of $A\cap B$ and $|A\cap B|=t-2$.

Proof: If $|A \cap B| \le t - 3$, the result follows from Lemma 7.5. Assume $|A \cap B| = t - 2$. If $A \cap B$ uses at most t - 3 colors of the (t - 1)-coloring of B, we can also extend the coloring of B to a (t - 1)-coloring of G because A - B needs only two colors. So it remains to consider the case when $|A \cap B| = t - 2$ and $A \cap B$ uses exactly t - 2 colors of the coloring of B.

Since there is exactly one color a that is not used in the coloring of $A \cap B$, we first color one partite set B_1 of the bipartite graph (B_1, B_2) of A - B with the color a. If there is no vertex in B_2 that is adjacent to all the vertices of $A \cap B$, for each vertex in B_2 there is always one color that yields a valid coloring. Thus we can extend the coloring of B to the whole graph G, unless there is a vertex in B_2 that is adjacent to all the vertices in $A \cap B$.

We need the following variants of Lemma 7.9. This lemma indeed confirms the degree condition on Y_t in the second conclusion of 3 in Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 7.10 Let G be a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t. Suppose G-Z can be written as $W \cup B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_l$ (for some l) such that W induces a bipartite graph (A', B'), B_i is not bipartite, $B_i - W$ is connected, $|B_i \cap W| \leq 1$ for each i, and for any i, j with $i \neq j$, $|B_i \cap B_j| \leq 1$. Then $l < |Z|^2 2^{|Z|}$.

Proof: Suppose $l \geq |Z|^2 2^{|Z|}$. Then by the pigeon hole principle, there is a set \mathcal{Q}' of B_1, \ldots, B_l with $|\mathcal{Q}'| \geq |Z|^2$ such that for any two sets $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}', N(Q) \cap Z = N(Q') \cap Z = Z' \subset Z$.

We now reduce Z' into a clique Z'', via odd-minor-operations using some components in \mathcal{Q}' . We first keep identifying two vertices $u, v \in Z'$ by taking an even path in $(Q - \{y\}) \cup \{u, v\}$ between u and v, if uv is not present, where $y = Q \cap (G - Z)$.

When we stuck, we still have at least $|Z|^2 - |Z|$ components Q remaining in Q'. For each of these components, since we cannot identify two vertices of Z' via odd-minor-operations, we can add an edge uv (with $u, v \in Z'$) from $Q \in Q'$ by taking an odd path in $(Q - \{y\}) \cup \{u, v\}$ between u and v, if uv is not present, where $y = Q \cap (G - Z)$. This way, we can reduce Z' onto a clique Z'' via odd-minor-operations. Let Q'' be the components in Q that are used to construct the clique Z''. Note that $Q' - Q'' \neq \emptyset$. If $|Z''| \geq t$, we are done, as this is an odd K_t -model.

Let G' be the resulting graph. Note that none of the components in Q'' exists in G'. By the minimality of G, G' has a (t-1)-coloring ϕ . For each $Q \in Q''$ with $Q \cap (G-Z) = \{w\}$, w gets a color from ϕ . If the color of w is used in the coloring of Z'', say w and x in Z'' receive the same color, then we just take an even path from w to x via some component Q' in Q' - Q'' (which is possible because Q' is not bipartite). This allows us to identify w and x via the odd-minor-operations in G - Q. Then we use components in $Q' - \{Q, Q'\}$ to reduce to the clique of Z'', via the odd-minor-operations (which is possible, because all components in $Q' - \{Q, Q'\}$ are not bipartite and $|Q'| \ge |Z|^2$).

If the color of w is different from any of the colors in Z'', we use components in $\mathcal{Q}' - \{Q\}$ to reduce to the clique of $\{w\} \cup Z''$, via the odd-minor-operations (which is possible, again, because all components in $\mathcal{Q}'' - \{Q\}$ are not bipartite and $|\mathcal{Q}'| \geq |Z|^2$).

So we can apply Lemma 7.6 to each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}''$ to obtain a (t-1)-coloring of Q which is consistent with the coloring of G'. This yields a (t-1)-coloring of G, a contradiction.

8 Refinement of the embedding

Let us assume that an α -nearly embeding in a surface Σ is given; i.e., there is a subset $Z \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Z| \leq \alpha$, two sets $\mathcal{V} = \{(G_1, \Omega_1), \dots, (G_{\alpha'}, \Omega_{\alpha'})\}$, where $\alpha' \leq \alpha$, and $\mathcal{W} = \{(G_{\alpha'+1}, \Omega_{\alpha'+1}), \dots, (G_n, \Omega_n)\}$ of societies, and a graph G_0 is embedded in Σ with Euler genus g.

We use the notion of radial graph. Informally, the radial graph of an embedded graph G in Σ is the bipartite graph R_G obtained by selecting a point in every region r of G and connecting it to every vertex of G incident to that region. However, a region maybe "incident more than once" with the same vertex, so one needs a more formal definition. A radial drawing R_G is a radial graph of a 2-cell embedded graph G in Σ if

- 1. $V(E(G)) \cap V(E(R_G)) = V(G) \subseteq V(R_G);$
- 2. Each region $r \in R(G)$ contains a unique vertex $v_r \in V(R_G)$;
- 3. R_G is bipartite with a bipartition $(V(G), \{v_r : r \in R(G)\})$;
- 4. If e, f are edges of R_G with the same ends $v \in V(G)$, $v_r \in V(R_G)$, then $e \cup f$ does not bound a closed disk in $r \cup \{v\}$;
- 5. R_G is maximal subject to 1,2,3 and 4.

Finally, let $A(R_G)$ be the set of vertices, edges, and regions (collectively, atoms) in the radial graph R_G . By the *interior* of a closed walk of the radial graph we mean the union of its elements and the elements on the inside of the cycles it contains. When we talk of a drawing of representativity r in the sphere, we are implicitly associating some three cuffs. This allows us to define *interior* of a closed walk of the radial graph, which contains at most one cuff. With this definition, the distance function defined above is also a metric in the plane [39]. According to Section 9 of [42], we obtain the following.

Theorem 8.1 In an embedding of representativity r we can define a metric d on $A(R_G)$ as follows:

- 1. If a = b, then d(a, b) = 0.
- 2. If $a \neq b$, and a and b are the interior closed walk of radial graph of length < 2r, then d(a,b) is half the minimum length of such a walk
- 3. Otherwise, $d(a,b) = \theta$.

Hereafter we refer distance to this metric. Distance between two connected subgraphs H_1, H_2 can be defined as the smallest distance between $u \in H_1$ and $v \in H_2$. Note that H_1 or H_2 could be a single vertex u or v.

We need the following. A similar lemma (and its proof) appears in [32].

Lemma 8.2 In addition to the structures in Theorem 4.2 with α and $\delta \geq 16(z+\alpha)(4\alpha^2+2z\alpha)$, where α is as below, the following holds: Let S be a set of faces of order $z \geq 3$ in G'_0 . Then we get a refinement of the α' -near, δ' -rich embedding that satisfies the following:

- 1. $\alpha' \le 4\alpha^2 + 2\alpha z$, and $\delta' \ge \delta/2$.
- 2. every vertex of S is covered by a large vortex.

Moreover, such a modification is possible in $O(n^2)$ time.

In order to show Lemma 8.2, we need several lemmas. Roughly, the following lemma says that S can be covered by a bounded number of bounded-radius disks, where the radius is defined by the distance. More precisely, there is a closed curve C such that the graph inside the disk D = D(C) (i.e., bounded by C) is of bounded radius. In this case, we say that the disk D is of bounded-radius (or sometimes we say that the disk is of radius at most l for some constant l).

Roughly, the following lemma says that S can be covered by a bounded number of bounded-radius disks, where the radius is defined by the distance.

Lemma 8.3 In G'_0 , there is a set C of at most z centers such that, for each face s in the set S, there is a center c in C such that $d(c,s) \leq \alpha$.

Proof: We can greedily build the disk cover by repeatedly adding a disk of radius α centered at a vertex in $s \in S$ that is not already covered by the disks so far. When the cover is complete, the centers of the disks form a set C such that every pair of centers has distance at least N_2 (by construction).

We now combine this disk cover, and make the cover disjoint, to obtain our desired local areas of planarity as follows.

Lemma 8.4 In G'_0 there is a set C of at most z vertices such that, for each $s \in S$, there is exactly one center c in C for which $d(c, s) \leq z\alpha$.

Proof: The only problem is that we might have some of vertices in S that are near to (within radius of) more than one center in C (double coverage).

Suppose one disk of radius r and center c intersects another disk of radius r' and center c'. We replace these disks by a single disk of radius r + r' and centered at a vertex of distance r' from c and distance r' from c'. (Such a vertex exists by the definition of the distance) Repeating this process, we eventually remove all intersections among disks and moreover, we can make sure that for each $s \in S$, there is exactly one center c in C.

The maximum radius of any disk increases from the original maximum N_2 by at most a factor of the number of disks in the original C, which is at most z.

So far, we have looked at the 2-cell embedding of G'_0 . Next, we need to look at the α -nearly embedding structure. In the next lemma, we assume that G has an α -nearly embedding structure. Note that we can easily transfer the distance in G'_0 to the distance in $G_0 \cup \mathcal{W}$. So hereafter, when we talk about "distance" in G_0 , all small vortices are also taken into account (and hence we abuse the "distance" in the surface, i.e., extending it to $G_0 \cup \mathcal{W}$).

Lemma 8.5 Let C be a bounded radius disk in G such that C contains exactly one vortex of depth h and all vertices (in $G_0 \cup W$) of distance at most r from the center $c \in V(G)$. Let G_C be the graph inside the disk C. Assume that the representativity of G'_0 is at least 2r + 2h. Then $(G_C, V(C))$ is a vortex of depth at most 2r + 2h.

Proof: Let us remind that V(C) consists of the vertices on the boundary of C. Actually, we assume that V(C) is a vertex set on the circumference of C (i.e., vertices of distance exactly r from a center c). We may assume that |V(C)| > 2r by the definition of the distance.

Note that the graph inside C is planar (up to 3-separations) with one large vortex of depth h, since the representativity of G'_0 is at least $N_1 \geq 2r + 2h$. Let us observe that there is no separation (A, B) of order at most 2r in $G_0 \cup \mathcal{W}$ such that A induces a disk containing C (i.e., no vertex outside C is in A).

Take two vertices u, v of V(C). Since V(C) is a vertex set on the circumference of C, V(C) can be partitioned into two parts A, B such that A starts u and ends v in the clockwise direction C, and B starts v and ends u in the clockwise direction of C. For any partition A, B of V(C) with $|A|, |B| \geq 2r$, suppose there are more than 2r disjoint paths in the "embedded" graph in C (i.e., the graph of $G_0 \cup W$ inside C). Then there is a vertex $x \in V(C)$ such that the curve from c to x hits at least r+1 paths of these paths. But this does not happen since we take V(C) as a vertex set on the circumference of C (i.e., vertices of distance exactly r from c).

Let us consider the vortex (G_1, Ω_1) of depth h, contained in C. By Lemma 4.1, for any partition \hat{A}, \hat{B} of Ω_1 with $|\hat{A}|, |\hat{B}| \geq 2h$, there are at most 2h disjoint paths from \hat{A} to \hat{B} in G_1 . Let (A, B) be the partition of V(C) such that there are more than 2r + 2h disjoint paths from A to B in G_C . Note that if such a partition does not exist, we know that $(G_C, V(C))$ must be a vortex of depth 2r + 2h by Lemma 4.1.

By the above argument, there is a separation (A', B') of order at most 2r in the "embedded" graph in C (i.e., the graph of $G_0 \cup W$ inside C) with $A \subseteq A'$ and $B \subseteq B'$. Note that all the vertices in $A \cap B$ are contained in G_0 . Actually, by using Menger's theorem, there is a $|A' \cap B'|$ -separating face chain in G'_0 which separates A and B. Here, a k-separating face chain is a sequence $v_1 f_1 \ldots, v_k f_k$ such that all v_i are vertices and all f_i are faces in G'_0 , and each face f_i is incident with vertices v_i and v_{i+1} .

Let us observe that if there is a large vortex W of depth h, that is attached to a cuff Q, such that $Q \cap (A' - B') \neq \emptyset$ and $Q \cap (B' - A') \neq \emptyset$, then there is a separation (W_1, W_2) of order at most 2h in W such that $(W_1 - W_2) \cap (A' - B') \neq \emptyset$ and $(W_2 - W_1) \cap (B' - A') \neq \emptyset$.

This means that if such a large vortex exists, then there is a separation $(A' \cup W_1, B' \cup W_2)$ of order at most 2h + 2r in G_C , such that A is contained in $A' \cup W_1$ and B is contained in $B' \cup W_2$. Thus by Lemma 4.1 we know that $(G_C, V(C))$ must be a vortex of depth 2r + 2h. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.5.

The same argument actually gives rise to the following.

Lemma 8.6 Let C be a bounded radius disk in G such that C contains at most k vortices of depth h and all vertices (in $G_0 \cup W$) of distance at most r from the center $c \in V(G)$. Let G_C be the graph inside the disk C. Also assume that the representativity of G'_0 is at least 2r + 2kh. Then $(G_C, V(C))$ is a vortex of depth at most 2r + 2kh.

We also need the following result in [43], (7.6).

Lemma 8.7 Let G be a graph embedded into a surface of representativity Θ . Let r be a vertex in G, and take all the vertices W of distance d at most $k \leq \Theta/4$. Then the induced embedding of G - W has representativity at least $\Theta - 4k$, and hence we can define the new distance d' in G - W. Moreover, for any two vertices $a, b \in V(G) - W$, $d'(a, b) \geq d(a, b) - 4k - 2$.

We now show Lemma 8.2. Let us assume that we have the structure in Theorem 4.2 with representativity δ . Let us first focus on G'_0 , which is obtained from $G_0 \cup G_{\alpha+1} \cup \ldots$ by adding all the virtual edges in $G_0 \cap G_{\alpha+i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots$ Then we obtain an embedding of G'_0 .

Take one vertex v_i in G'_0 , out of each large vortex V_i , and let $S' = S \cup \mathcal{V}''$, where $\mathcal{V}'' = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{\alpha}\}$. By Lemma 8.4 there is a set C of at most $z + \alpha$ vertices such that, for each $s \in S$, there is exactly one center c in C for which $d(c, s) \leq (z + \alpha)\alpha$, and no other center c' in C for which $d(c', s) \leq (z + \alpha)\alpha$. Thus we can take disjoint disks and whose center is in C, such that each of them is radius at most $(z + \alpha)\alpha$ from the center in C. By Lemma 8.6, $(G_C, V(C))$ is a vortex of depth $2(z + \alpha)\alpha + 2\alpha^2 = 4\alpha^2 + 2z\alpha$. Since there are at most $z + \alpha$ such disks, if we delete them, then by Lemma 8.7, the representativity of the resulting embedding is $\delta - 8(z + \alpha)(4\alpha^2 + 2z\alpha) \geq \delta/2$.

So Lemma 8.2 follows (with representativity $\delta/2$). It follows from Lemma 4.1 that there is an $O(n^2)$ time algorithm to obtain, for each $(G_C, V(C))$, a linear decomposition of depth $4\alpha^2 + 2z\alpha$ (by Lemma 8.6). Since there are at most $\alpha + z$ large vortices (and moreover $\alpha + z$ is regarded as a fixed constant) we obtain a desired $O(n^2)$ algorithm as in Lemma 8.2.

9 Dealing with small vortices in a surface

Let G be a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case $t \geq 6$, and assume that G has an α -near embedding structure as in Theorem 4.2 with a graph $R = K_{16k\sqrt{\log k}}$ (throughout this section, we follow the notations in Section 4) and $\delta \geq 10|Z|^2 2^{|Z|} d(3t,\alpha)$, where d(.) comes from Theorem 9.2 below. The main purpose of this section is to deal with small vortices \mathcal{W} . Let $Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_l\}$ with $l \leq \alpha$. For each $G_i \in \mathcal{W}$, if there is an induced connected bipartite graph Q in G_i such that Q is an induced block, then we take such a bipartite graph. More precisely, if we take a block decomposition of G_i (i.e., take a tree-decomposition (T,Y) such that for each $tt' \in T$, $|Y_t \cap Y_{t'}| = 1$), then we take all Y_t that are bipartite. Note that G_i may contain two or more such induced bipartite graphs. Let Q be the union of these induced bipartite blocks in \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 9.1 If $|\mathcal{Q}| > |Z|^2 2^{|Z|}$ then there are at least $|Z|^2$ components in \mathcal{Q} such that all have neighbors in the same vertices Z' in Z. Moreover Z' can be reduced onto a clique of order at least t-3, via the odd-minor-operations, and at least $|Z|^2/2$ components in \mathcal{Q} have neighbors in all of the vertices in the clique and are not used to create the clique.

Proof: Suppose $|\mathcal{Q}| \geq |Z|^2 2^{|Z|}$. Then by the pigeon hole principle, there is a set $\mathcal{Q}' \subset \mathcal{Q}$ with $|\mathcal{Q}'| \geq |Z|^2$ such that for any two $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}'$, $N(Q) \cap Z = N(Q') \cap Z = Z_1 \subset Z$.

We now reduce Z_1 into a clique Z_2 , via the odd-minor-operations. We first keep identifying any two vertices $u, v \in Z_1$ by taking $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'$ and then taking an even path in $(Q - G_0) \cup \{u, v\}$, if uv is not

present (see Fact 7.3). In this case, $(Q - G_0) \cup \{u, v\}$ is reduced to the vertex that is obtained from the identification of u and v, via the odd-minor-operations.

When we stuck, we still have at least $|Z|^2 - |Z|$ components Q remaining in Q' (see Lemma 7.8). Hence for each of these remaining components $Q \in Q'$, we can add an edge uv (with $u, v \in Z_1$) by taking an odd path in $(Q - G_0) \cup \{u, v\}$ (see Fact 7.2), if uv is not present (Note that there is no even path in $Q \cup \{u, v\}$, otherwise, we had already identified u and v as above). In this case, $(Q - G_0) \cup \{u, v\}$ is reduced to the edge uv, via the odd-minor-operations.

This way, we can reduce Z_1 onto a clique Z_2 via the odd-minor-operations. Let G'' be the resulting graph. So all the components in Q' that are used to create this clique Z_2 are now gone.

In fact, for our technical purpose, we require the following: if there is a vertex x in $Q-G_0$ that is adjacent to at least two vertices of G_0 , then we first delete all edges incident with x except for the ones with endpoints in G_0 . Then we contract all these edges into a single point. There is still above an even path or an odd path in $(Q-G_0-\{v\})\cup\{u,v\}$, for otherwise, there is a separation (A,B) of order one in Q, in which case, our definition implies that Q have to be both A and B, but not $A \cup B$.

If $|Z_2| \ge t$, we are done, as this is an odd K_t -model.

Let us observe that after all the above odd-minor-operations, each remaining component in Q' has neighbors to all the vertices of the clique Z_2 , but no neighbors in $Z - Z_2$ in G''. Note that there are at least $|Z|^2/2$ remaining components in Q'.

If $|Z_2| \leq t-4$, then for each remaining component $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'$, we delete $Q-G_0$, except for one "special" vertex that is adjacent to all the vertices in $Z_2 \cup (Q \cap G_0)$ (if it exists). Let G' be the resulting graph of G''. By the minimality, G' has a (t-1)-coloring σ .

For each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'$ (including components to create a clique Z_2), we now color Q which extends the coloring σ . Since $|Q \cap G_0| \leq 3$, we contract two vertices of $Q \cap G_0$ into one vertex, if there is a vertex that is adjacent to two of $Q \cap G_0$, and we leave one "special" vertex if it exists for the remaining component in \mathcal{Q}' , either

- at most t-2 colors are precolored in $Q \cup Z_2$ from σ , or
- exactly t-1 colors are precolored in $Q \cup Z_2$ from σ , but there is no vertex in $Q-G_0$ that are adjacent to three vertices in $G_0 \cap Q$ with three different colors.

Let us first consider the first case. If at most t-3 colors are used in $Q \cup Z_2$ from σ , we can color $Q - G_0$ with two left colors. So it remains to consider the case that exactly t-2 colors are used in $Q \cup Z_2$ from σ . Since $Q \cap G_0$ receives at least two colors, say a, b, that are not used in the coloring of Z_2 from σ , we can color one partite set A_1 of (A_1, B_1) of $Q - G_0$ as a, and we can color B_1 as x, which is not used in the coloring of $Q \cup Z_2$. So we obtain a (t-1)-coloring of each Q which is consistent with the coloring σ .

Let us consider the second case. If some two vertices x, y of $Q \cap G_0$ are in a different partite set of the bipartition of Q, say $x \in A_1$ and $y \in B_1$, then we color A_1 with $\sigma(x)$ and color B_1 with $\sigma(y)$ (except for the vertex $(Q \cap G_0) - \{x, y\}$ which has own color). If not, say, all the vertices x, y, z of $Q \cap G_0$ are in A_1 , then we first pick up $\sigma(x)$ for $A_1 - \{y, z\}$, and for each vertex in B_1 , we pick up one of $\sigma(y), \sigma(z)$, which is possible because either $\sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(z)$ are same, or there is no vertex in B_1 that is adjacent to both of y, z. So we obtain a (t-1)-coloring of Q which is consistent with the coloring σ .

This yields a (t-1)-coloring of G, a contradiction.

So $t-1 \ge |Z_2| \ge t-3$, and at least $|Z|^2/2$ components in Q' have neighbors to all the vertices of the clique Z_2 , but no neighbors in $Z-Z_2$. Moreover, they are not used to create the clique Z_2 .

The above proof can handle the following case too:

when $|Z_2| = t - 3$ or t - 2, and there is no vertex in $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ that is adjacent to at least t - 3 vertices in Z_2 .

To see this, let us first consider the case when $|Z_2| = t - 3$. For each vertex x in $Q - G_0$, we have one color that is used in Z_2 but that is still valid in $(Q - G_0) \cup Z_2 \cup \{x\}$. We can plug this fact to the above

proof to show that we obtain a (t-1)-coloring of Q which is consistent with the coloring σ . For example, if $Z_2 \cup (Q \cap G_0)$ uses at most t-2 colors from σ , we can apply the same proof. On the other hand, even if $Z_2 \cup (Q \cap G_0)$ uses exactly t-1 colors from σ , we still have a valid color for each vertex in $Q - G_0$. We omit further details, as they are just case-analysis.

Must of the same thing happens to the case when $|Z_2| = t - 2$. The point is that there must exist one color x that is not used in Z_2 in the coloring σ . So we can color one partite set with x (except for $Q \cap G_0$). For the other partite set, we have two colors available, and these two colors do not contain x. So we can proceed in the same way.

Hereafter we use the following fact from this proof:

When $|Z_2| = t - 3$ or t - 2, and there is a vertex in $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ that is adjacent to at least t - 3 vertices in Z_2 .

When $|Z_1| = t - 1$, the situation is even simpler, but we deal with this case right after Lemma 11.2 later.

Next, we need the following from [39]. A forest in a surface Σ is an embedded graph in Σ with no cycles. Two forests H_1, H_2 , are homotopic in Σ if

- (i) $V(H_1) \cap bd(\Sigma) = V(H_2) \cap bd(\Sigma)$,
- (ii) for any two vertices $s, t \in V(H_1) \cap bd(H_1)$, there is a path of H_1 from s to t if and only if there is such a path in H_2 , and
- (iii) for any two vertices $s, t \in V(H_i) \cap bd(H_i)$, if there is a path P_i of H_i from s to t for i = 1, 2, then P_1 is homotopic to P_2 in Σ .

We say that forests H_1, H_2 in Σ are homoplastic if there is a homeomorphism $\alpha : \Sigma \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ such that

- (i) $\alpha(x) = x$ for all $x \in bd(\Sigma)$, and
- (ii) the forest $\alpha(H_1)$ is homotopic to H_2 in Σ .

The equivalence classes of this equivalence relation are called *homoplasty classes*. Let G be a graph in Σ , and let H be a forest in Σ . If there exists a forest H homoplastic to H which is a subgraph of G, we say that H is G-feasible.

We can now state the result from [39] (see (6.1)).

Theorem 9.2 Let G be a 2-connected graph on a surface Σ of Euler genus g. Let A be a vertex set of order $k \geq 3$ such that there are at least three faces F to cover A. If one face C contains l vertices in A, then there is no closed curve of order at most l-1 whose interior contains C.

Then there is a function d(|A|, g) that satisfies the following: Suppose that representativity of the drawing of G in a surface Σ is at least d(|A|, g) and distance between any two faces in F is at least d(|A|, g). Then for any Y-forest with $V(G) \cap bd(\Sigma) = V(Y) = bd(\Sigma) = A$, Y is G-feasible.

For each $G_i \in \mathcal{W}$, let us take an induced subgraph R which contains an odd cycle and which does not contain a subgraph in \mathcal{Q} . Let R be the union of these induced subgraphs in \mathcal{W} .

We prove the following analogue of Lemma 9.1.

Lemma 9.3 There are no vertex disjoint subgraphs $O_1, \ldots, O_l \in \mathbf{R}$ with $l \geq 2^{|Z|}|Z|^2$ such that any two of O_1, \ldots, O_l are of distance at least $d(3t, g) + 2t^2 + 12t$.

Proof: Suppose such l disjoint subgraphs exist. By the pigeon hole principle, there is a set $\mathbf{R}' \subset \mathbf{R}$ with $|\mathbf{R}'| \geq |Z|^2$ such that for any two $R, R' \in \mathbf{R}', N(R) \cap Z = N(R') \cap Z = Z' \subset Z$. By the definition of \mathbf{R} and Fact 7.2, for any $R \in \mathbf{R}'$ and for any two vertices $u, v \in Z'$, there is an odd path between u and v in $R \cup \{u, v\}$. We can thus keep adding an edge uv (with $u, v \in Z'$) from $R \in R'$ by taking an odd path in $R \cup \{u, v\}$, if uv is not present. In this case, R is reduced to the edge uv, via the odd-minor-operations. This way, we can reduce Z' onto a clique, via the odd-minor-operations (see Lemma 7.7)². Note that in these reductions, some vertices in G_0 may be used (because R could contain at most three vertices in a face of G_0).

If $|Z'| \ge t$, we are done as we obtain an odd K_t -model. So $|Z'| \le t - 1$. This means that there is a vertex set $\mathbf{R}'' \subseteq \mathbf{R}'$ of order at least 3t such that every $R \in \mathbf{R}''$ is not used to create a clique on Z' (note that $t \ge 6$).

We again consider the original graph G. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by deleting all $R - G_0$ for $R \in \mathbf{R}''$. We first color G'. By the minimality of G, G has a (t-1)-coloring σ .

As in the proof of Lemma 7.6, this coloring partitions the vertices of Z' into color classes V_1, \ldots, V_l with $l \leq t-1$. For each V_i , we can identify into a single point by taking even paths in some components in $\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}''$, as above (see Fact 7.3). On the other hand, we can also add an edge between V_i and V_j ($i \neq j$) by taking an odd path in some $R' \in \mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}''$ as above (see Fact 7.2). So we can reduce Z' to a clique (using only components in $\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}''$) such that all V_i are reduced into a single vertex. Again, note that in these reductions, some vertices in G_0 may be used. We delete such vertices. By Lemma 8.7, this results in making distance smaller, but only $4 \times t^2/2 = 2t^2$ for distance between any two vertices in the current graph.

Let us color $R \in \mathbf{R}''$. We pick up three distinct sets L_i of |Z'| elements in $\mathbf{R}'' - \{R\}$. So $|L_1| = |L_2| = |L_3| = |Z'| \le t - 1$ and L_1, L_2, L_3 are disjoint. Let R_i be the faces that accommodate all the sets in L_i for i = 1, 2, 3. So any two faces of R_i are of pairwise distance at least d(3t, g) + 12t in the current graph and moreover any face in R_i and any face in R_j (with $i \ne j$) are of distance at least d(3t, g) + 12t in the current graph.

Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be $R \cap G_0$ (if $|R \cap G_0| \leq 2$, we just appoint v_1, v_2 or just v_1 if $|R \cap G_0| = 1$). For a technical reason, we take a closed curve C whose interior includes $R \cap G_0$ and such that |C| = 3t - 3 and subject to that, the number of vertices interior of C is as many as possible. Let us partition the vertices on C into three parts L'_1, L'_2, L'_3 such that all L'_i are consecutive along C (in a natural way). So all the vertices on C are in the clockwise order, and L'_1, L'_2, L'_3 partition the ordering of the vertices on C into three equal size sets. In the interior of C, by our choice of the closed curve C, it is straightforward to see that there are three disjoint paths P_i from v_i to L'_i (for i = 1, 2, 3) such that P_i does not contain any vertex in $L'_{i-1} \cup L'_{i+1}$. We now delete interior of C, and add three vertices v'_i to the face bounded by C such that v'_i has neighbors to all of L'_i (for i = 1, 2, 3). By Lemma 8.7, this results in making distance smaller, but only $4 \times 3t = 12t$ for distance between any two vertices in the current graph.

We now apply Theorem 9.2 to the resulting graph, with respect to a forest obtained as follows: it consists of three trees T_1, T_2, T_3 such that T_i consists of a star with the center v_i' and each face in R_i must contain a leaf. So Theorem 9.2 implies that such a forest exists. Note that the distance condition is satisfied because we only loose $2t^2 + 12t$ for distance between any two vertices so far. Since $|R_i| = |Z'|$ and since all V_i above are reduced into a single vertex (via the odd-minor-relations), by using the above paths P_1, P_2, P_3 , we can use $L_i \cup P_i$ to reduce $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \cup Z'$ onto a clique, via the odd-minor-operations, such that each node of the clique corresponds to a color class (from the coloring σ) that is reduced into a single vertex. Note that, from the coloring σ , each v_i may consist of a single color class or may receive the same color as V_j (for some j). In either case, we can reduce $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \cup Z'$ onto a clique, via the odd-minor-operations.

²Let us observe that we could even get an even path between u and v in $R \cup \{u, v\}$, and as in Lemma 9.1, we could identify u and v via this even path, if the edge uv is not present.

Let R'' be the resulting graph of $R \cup Z'$. By the minimality, we can color R'' with (t-1)-colors, and by our reduction of $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \cup Z'$ onto a clique, this coloring is consistent with the coloring σ .

We can do the same thing for each $R \in \mathbf{R}''$, and hence we obtain a (t-1)-coloring of R that is consistent with the coloring σ . So we obtain a (t-1)-coloring of the whole graph G, a contradiction. \square

The last lemma in this section is crucial in our proof.

Lemma 9.4 Suppose $Z' \subset Z$ is a clique of order at least t-6 (and at least t-5 if g=0) and there are 21 vertices S in G_0 that have neighbors to all the vertices in Z' and that are pairwise far apart (i.e, pairwise distance at least $d'(g) \geq d(30, g) + 180$ for some function d' of g, to be determined later). Then either

- 1. G has an odd K_t -model, or
- 2. Σ is sphere, $|Z'| \le t 5$ and G Z' has a near embedding in Σ with no large vortices and with $Z Z' = \emptyset$, or
- 3. there are a set of at most twenty disks D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_l (with $l \leq 20$) that are bounded by closed curves C'_1, \ldots, C'_l , such that if we delete all the graphs inside these disks, the resulting graph of G'_0 in the surface has no odd faces. Moreover, there is no graph W' in W that attaches to a face (with at least two vertices) outside these disks, such that W' contains an odd cycle C, and for some two vertices u, v in $W' \cap G_0$, there are two disjoint paths from u, v to C in W'. In addition, the graph inside each disk is a vortex of depth $40d'(g) + 2\alpha^2$.

Note that the third conclusion does not mean that the resulting graph is bipartite (if g > 0).

Proof: Our proof is divided into two cases:

- case (a) there are at least 21 odd faces that are pairwise far apart (i.e, distance at least d'(g)). Here, odd faces may be obtained from a small vortex in W by adding an even or an odd path to G_0 .
- case (b) (a) does not happen.

In cases (a), we shall obtain an odd K_t -model or the second conclusion. In case (b), we shall get the last conclusion of the lemma.

Our proof strategy is as follows: if there are 21 odd faces that are pairwise far apart (e.g., distance at least d'(g)), then we shall find 15 odd faces of them, and find a subset set S' of S of order 6 (such that each vertex in S' is far from the 15 odd faces), and connect each pair of S' via each odd face. If Σ is not sphere, this allows us to obtain an odd K_6 -model (in G - Z') with each vertex in S' in different nodes of the odd K_6 -model. This odd K_6 -model, together with the clique (on Z') of order t - 6, yields an odd K_t -minor. This corresponds to case (a).

If Σ is sphere, and G - Z' has a near embedding in Σ with no large vortices and with $Z - Z' = \emptyset$, we obtain the second conclusion. Otherwise, the same argument applies and we come to case (a).

Otherwise we can adapt the argument in Section 8 to obtain at most 20 disks that cover all odd faces and whose graphs (inside these disks) yield a vortex of depth $40d'(g) + 2\alpha^2$. Outside these disks, only even faces remain. Moreover, no small vortex that contains an odd cycle is attached. This gives rise to the third conclusion.

Let us first consider case (a). Suppose there are 21 odd faces O_1, \ldots, O_{21} that are pairwise far apart (e.g., distance at least d'(g)). As mentioned above, odd faces may be obtained by adding an even or an odd path from a small vortex in W.

If g = 0, i.e., Σ is sphere, then we may assume that G - Z' has a near embedding in Σ with either at least one large vortex or at least one apex vertex in Z - Z' (otherwise we are done). In this case, we obtain a "non-planar" cross over G'_0 . This means that either

- (i) there is a path P with endpoints u, v such that $G'_0 \cup P$ is non planar, or
- (ii) there is a face F' that accommodates one large vortex in \mathcal{V} such that there are four vertices a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 that appear in this order listed, and there are two disjoint paths P'_i joining a_i and b_i for i = 1, 2 such that they do not intersect G_0 except for their endpoints. Moreover, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 are "free" in G'_0 , i.e., there are four disjoint paths from a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 to any four vertices of S in G'_0 .

In the case g > 0, by our condition of 21 odd faces O_1, \ldots, O_{21} and S, we can pick up 15 odd faces O_1, \ldots, O_{15} and a vertex set S' of order 6 in S such that each vertex in S' is of distance at least d'(g) from the 15 odd faces. When g = 0, we only need |S'| = 5, and 10 odd faces O_1, \ldots, O_{10} that satisfy the above distance conditions, but we also need the following: in case (i), each vertex in S' is of distance at least d'(g) both from u and from v, and each face in O_1, \ldots, O_{10} is of distance at least d'(g) both from u and from v. In case (ii), the face F' is of distance at least d'(g) both from S' and from odd faces O_1, \ldots, O_{10} .

For each vertex $s \in S'$, we want to find paths as described above, but since degree of s may be small, this may not be possible for a trivial reason. Therefore, we take a closed curve C whose interior includes s and such that |C| = 5, and subject to that, the number of vertices in the interior of C is as many as possible. We now delete interior of C. We do the same thing for all the vertices in S'. Let C_1, \ldots, C_6 be the resulting cuffs (such that in the graph G_0 , each C_i induces a closed curve whose interior contains $s_i \in S$). We select five vertices $v_{i,j}$ for each cuff C_i for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$ and $j = 1, \ldots, 5$. For each odd face O' in O_1, \ldots, O_{15} , we just take two neighbors x, y of O' (such that there are two independent edges between x, y and O), and delete V(O') from G. Let O'_i be the resulting cuff (that contains exactly two neighbors x, y). Let G' be the resulting graph.

By Lemma 8.7, this results in making distance smaller, but only $4 \times 5 \times 6 + 15 \times 4 = 180$ for distance between any two vertices in G'. By our assumption, any two cuffs in $C_1, \ldots, C_6, O'_1, \ldots, O'_{15}$ are of distance at least $d'(g) - 180 \ge d(30, g)$, where d(.) comes from Theorem 9.2.

Consider first the case g > 0. So by Theorem 9.2, there are 30 paths such that i) two vertices in O'_i are connected to exactly two faces in C_1, \ldots, C_6 , and ii) contracting C_1, \ldots, C_6 into single vertices yields a K_6 -model. For each $s_i \in S'$, let us take the connected graph Q_i that connects s_i and five of odd faces O_1, \ldots, O_{15} , by taking the obtained five paths with one endpoint in C_i , together with a connected subgraph containing $s_i, v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,5}$. It is straightforward to see that the latter connected subgraph can be obtained from the interior of the closed curve C_i in the original graph G, together with $v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,5}$. Therefore, the obtained connected subgraphs Q_i are pairwise disjoint, and any two share one odd face in O_1, \ldots, O_{15} . Thus by adding some part of the odd face in O_1, \ldots, O_{15} to Q_i , we obtain disjoint connected subgraphs Q'_1, \ldots, Q'_6 and edges e_1, \ldots, e_{15} such that for any two of Q'_1, \ldots, Q'_6 there is exactly one edge of e_1, \ldots, e_{15} between them, and there is a 2-coloring of $Q'_1 \cup \cdots \cup Q'_6$ so that each Q'_i is bichromatic, while edges e_1, \ldots, e_{15} are monochromatic. Thus we obtain an odd K_6 -model (in G - Z') with each vertex in S' in different nodes of the odd K_6 -model. This odd K_6 -model, together with the clique (on Z') of order t - 6, yields an odd K_t -minor. This corresponds to case (a).

Consider next the case g=0. Much of the same things happens. Note that in this case $|Z'| \geq t-5$, and hence we only consider the case |S'|=5 (and 10 odd faces O_1,\ldots,O_{10} . So there are at least three cuffs, which allow us to define the metric we are using for the distance). The only difference is that in case (i) we need to connect two vertices of S', say s_1, s_2 , via one odd face and P. So in this case, one path has to start from s_1 to s_2 , and then we need another path from s_2 to one odd face s_3 disjoint paths in the previous case, we need 21 disjoint paths, using s_3 and s_4 . In case (ii), again, we need to connect two vertices of s', say s_1, s_2 , via one odd face and the face s_4 . So in this case, one path has to start from s_4 to s_4 , and then we need another path from s_4 to one odd face s_4 in this case, one path has to start from s_4 to s_4 , and then we need another path from s_4 to one odd face s_4 in total, instead of having 30 disjoint paths in the previous case, we need 22 disjoint paths.

If Theorem 9.2 is satisfied with respect to $C_1, \ldots, C_5, O'_1, \ldots, O'_{10}, u, v$ for case (i) and $C_1, \ldots, C_5, O'_1, \ldots, O'_{10}, F'$ for case (ii), the rest of the argument is exactly the same, and again we obtain an odd K_5 -model (in

G - Z') with each vertex in S' in different nodes of the odd K_5 -model. As above, this odd K_5 -model, together with the clique (on Z') of order t - 5, yields an odd K_t -minor. This indeed holds for case (ii).

So it remains to consider case (i) and the case when u and v are too close. To be more precise, let l be distance between u and v, if $l \geq d(21,0)$, then we can apply Theorem 9.2, with respect to $C_1, \ldots, C_5, O'_1, \ldots, O'_{10}, u, v$ (and hence we are done as mentioned before). Note that each vertex in S' is of distance at least d'(g) both from u and from v, and each face in O_1, \ldots, O_{10} is of distance at least d'(g) both from u and from v.

If not, we cut along the shortest curve between u and v (which is of length at most l) to obtain the cuff C' containing u, v, By Lemma 8.7, this results in making distance smaller, but only $4 \times l$ for distance between any two vertices in the remaining graph G''. So if we take $d'(g) \geq 5d(21,0)$, this implies that the resulting distance in G'' between any two cuffs in $C_1, \ldots, C_5, O'_1, \ldots, O'_{10}, C'$ is at least d(21,0), and hence we can apply Theorem 9.2, with respect to $C_1, \ldots, C_5, O'_1, \ldots, O'_{10}, C'$ (and hence we are done as mentioned before).

So we are done if there are at least 21 odd faces that are pairwise far apart (distance at least d'(g)). Suppose there are no 21 odd face that are pairwise distance at least d'(g). By Lemma 8.2, there are at most twenty disks D_1, \ldots, D_l (with $l \leq 20$) that are bounded by closed curves C'_1, \ldots, C'_l , such that the graph \hat{G} outside these disks has only even faces. Moreover, there is no graph W' in W that attaches to a face (with at least two vertices) outside these disks, such that W' contains an odd cycle C, and for some two vertices u, v in $W' \cap G_0$, there are two disjoint paths from u, v to C in W'. In addition, each graph inside the disk D_i is a vortex of depth $40d'(g) + 2\alpha^2$ (because there are at most α large vortices of depth α). This corresponds to the third conclusion (and case (b)).

10 Bounding tree-width in surfaces

This section is concerning how to bound tree-width of graphs in a surface of α -near embeddable graphs. The first lemma is the following:

Lemma 10.1 Let G be a planar graph, and let C be a face. Then there is a subgraph W of G that contains V(C) such that

- 1. each vertex in V(G-W) has at most three neighbors to W, and
- 2. tree-width of W is $O(\log n)$.

Proof: We give a constructive proof. Our first phase R_1 is the following.

Starting with C, we add a vertex $v \in V(G - C)$ that has a neighbor in C if the following is satisfied:

Let C' be the connected subgraph of G constructed so far, and let BD(C') be the boundary vertices of C'. We add v to C' if |BD(C'')| < |BD(C')|, where C'' is obtained from C' by adding v and all its incident edges that have another end vertex in BD(C').

So if v has at least four neighbors to BD(C'), then v is added.

All vertices added so far have a neighbor in C. Then Phase R_1 is done if there is no vertex that has a neighbor in C and that satisfies the above condition. Let C_1 be the resulting subgraph. Every vertex in $BD(C_1)$ is of distance at most one from C. Moreover, the vertices that are added in phase R_1 is of distance exactly one from C.

In the next phase R_2 , we do the above procedure with C replaced by C_1 . Let C_2 be the resulting subgraph. So every vertex in $BD(C_2)$ is of distance at most two from C, and moreover, the vertices that are added in phase R_2 is of distance exactly two from C.

We continue to do the phase R_l to obtain the resulting subgraph C_l together with its boundary $BD(C_l)$ whose vertices are of distance at most l from C, and moreover, the vertices that are added in phase R_l is of distance exactly l from C.

We claim that:

we stop at phase R_l with $l \leq \log n$.

To this end, for each $1 \leq l' < l$, we look at the vertices $V_{l'}$ that are added at phase $R_{l'}$. They are of distance exactly l' from C. This implies that each vertex in $V_{l'}$ has no neighbors in $V_{l''}$ for $l'' \leq l' - 2$.

As observed above, adding one vertex to $V_{l'}$ contributes to reduce $BD(C_{l'})$ by at least one. Because each vertex in $V_{l'}$ has no neighbors in $V_{l''}$ for $l'' \leq l' - 2$ and hence all its neighbors in $C_{l'-1}$ are in $V_{l'-1}$, this means $|V_{l'-1}| - |V_{l'}| \geq |V_{l'}|$ implying $|V_{l'-1}| \geq 2|V'_{l'}|$. Since $|C| - |V_1| \geq |V_1|$, this implies that $l \leq \log |C| \leq \log n$. This proves the claim.

So W has tree-width at most $6 \log n$ by the result of Eppstein [14], and this completes the proof. \square

Let us consider a graph H in a surface S with Euler genus g, and find a subgraph W such that H-W is planar and in addition all the vertices of H-W having neighbors in W are in the outer face boundary. Such a graph W is called a *planarizing* graph.

Recall that a noncontractible curve C in H is a curve C hitting only the vertices of H such that if we delete all the vertices that hit C (we shall refer to this vertex set as V(C)) from H, then Euler genus of the resulting graph of H is less than g. Such a noncontractible curve is called surface separating if it divides the surface S into two regions, none of which is sphere. Otherwise, we call it surface nonseparating. It is well-known that there are 2g-2 different (homology) types of surface nonseparating noncontractible curves of S, and there are g-1 different (homology) types of surface separating noncontractible curves of S (see [35]).

Let W be a planarizing subgraph of H that is embedded in a surface S of Euler genus g. By taking W minimal, we may assume that W consists of at most 2g-2 different types (i.e., the same homology class) of minimal surface nonseparating noncontractible curves of S, and at most g-1 different types (i.e., the same homology class) of minimal surface separating noncontractible curves in S, and at most 3g-3 curves to make W "connect" (thus there are at most 3g-3 noncontractible curves, together with 3g-3 curves to connect them in W. Note that these curves are not necessarily disjoint). Moreover, we may assume that each of these at most 6g-6 curves (i.e., each curve (except for the endpoints) does not hit any other noncontractible curves nor a curve joining two noncontractible curves) passes through each face of H at most once (otherwise, we can "shorten" the curve, see Lemma 10 in [11] for more details).

Let us come back to our graph G that has an α -near embedding. Let W be the planarizing subgraph of G_0 . In our application, we have large vortices \mathcal{V} . These vortices are attached to faces $C_1, \ldots, C_{\alpha'}$. We need to connect each of these faces to W. This can be done as follows; We first find a shortest curve P_1 between C_1 and W, and add P_1 to W (let W_1 be the resulting graph), and then find a shortest curve P_2 between W_1 and C_2 , and add P_2 to W_1 (let W_2 be the resulting graph), and so on. Let $W' = W_{\alpha'}$ be the resulting graph after α' iterations. We take W' so that the number of vertices in W' is as small as possible.

This minimality implies the following property: for each curve P (with endpoints u, v) in W', which is either in the above at most 6g - 6 curves or joining one face C_i and W',

[(*)] it is the shortest (i.e, P is the shortest curve between u and v).

Let G_l be the subgraph of G'_0 obtained from W' by adding all the vertices that are of face-distance in G'_0 at most $\log n$ from W' (i.e., for each vertex u, there is a vertex v in W' such that distance between u and v is at most $\log n$ in G'_0).

We prove the following lemma, which is crucial in our proof of our main result.

Lemma 10.2 Tree-width of G_l is at most $6400g^{5/2}(6g + 2\alpha') \log n$.

Proof: By our construction of W', if we cut along the boundary of W', we would obtain the planar graph G_P such that the vertices on the outer face boundary are obtained from W' by possibly duplicating some vertices (from cutting W'). Let C be the resulting outer face boundary of the planar G_P .

By the construction of G_l , every vertex in G_P is of face-distance at most $\log n$ from a vertex in C'. So G_P has tree-width at most $6 \log n$ by the result of Eppstein [14].

We claim that even we paste all duplicating vertices of C to obtain W', which is embedded in the surface Σ , tree-width of the resulting graph (that is, G_l) is at most $6400g^{5/2}(6g + 2\alpha')\log n$, which would prove the lemma.

We need the following result of Thomassen [53] (see Proposition 7.3.1 in [35]):

Theorem 10.3 Suppose G is embedded in a surface of Euler genus g. For any l, if G is of tree-width at least $400lg^{3/2}$, then it contains a flat l-wall. If there is no flat l-wall in G, then tree-width of G is less than $400lg^{3/2}$.

So by Theorem 10.3, it remains to show that there is no flat wall of height $16g(6g + 2\alpha')\log n$ in G_l . Suppose for a contradiction that such a flat wall R exists in G_l .

Let us first remind that W' consists of (i) at most 3g-3 noncontractible curves, together with curves to "connect" them, and (ii) at most α' curves connecting faces $C_1, \ldots, C_{\alpha'}$ (that vortices are attached to) to W'. The curve in (ii) joins two vertices of G while two closed curves in (i) may intersect, but by the minimality of such closed curves, intersection of any two such curves must be at most one curve (i.e., consecutive. For if there are two closed curves C_1, C_2 whose intersections consist of at least two curves, then there are a curve P' in C_1 and P'' in C_2 such that $P' \cup P'$ bounds a disk. So we can delete P' to obtain two curves C_1, C_2' that are homotopic to C_1, C_2 respectively, but intersections of C_1', C_2' consists only one curve. Indeed, this contradicts minimality of W').

Therefore, there are at most $6g + 2\alpha'$ vertices W'' that are contained either in at least two curves in (i) and (ii) or in $C_1, \ldots, C_{\alpha'}$.

So the flat wall R contains a subwall R' of height $8g \times \log n$ such that no vertex in W'' is in R' and in addition, distance in R between any vertex in the outer face boundary C of R' and any vertex in W'' is at least $\log n$.

Let us use the fact (*). Let us take the curve P as defined right before (*). We are only interested in the case when $|P'| \ge 10 \log n$, where P' is a curve that is obtained from P by deleting all vertices of distance at most $\log n$ from the two endvertices u, v of P.

Suppose there is a vertex v' that is of distance exactly $\log n + 1$ from P' in R' and of distance at least $\log n + 2$ from C in R'. Let \hat{W}' be obtained from W' by deleting all the vertices in P'. By our choice of R', v' cannot be of distance within $\log n$ from \hat{W}' (for otherwise, v' must be of distance at most $\log n$ from some curve P'' that is in \hat{W}' . This gives a smaller construction for W', a contradiction). Note that both u and v are of distance at least $\log n + 2$ from v'.

So this implies that v' must be of distance at most $\log n$ from P' in R', a contradiction. Hence no such a vertex v' exists.

Let us assume that P' contains a vertex v'' that is of distance at least $4 \log n$ from C in R'. Since our distance is metric, the argument in the previous paragraph implies that every vertex in R' is either of distance at most $\log n$ from P' or of distance $\log n$ from C. We are only interested in the former case. v'' divides P' into two curves P'_1, P'_2 . Let P''_i be all part(s) of P'_i that are of distance at most $2 \log n$ from C in R' (note that P''_i could consist of just a single curve). Let us consider all the vertices V_1 (V_2 , resp.) that are of distance at most $\log n$ from P''_1 (P''_2 , resp.) in R'. If there is a vertex that is both in V_1 and V_2 , we can make a "short cut" P'' between P''_1 and P''_2 . Note that the length of curve P'' is at most $2 \log n - 2$. Since v'' is of distance at least $4 \log n$ from C in R', $P' \cup P''$ contains a curve between two endvertices of P' but shorter than P', a contradiction.

Hence no vertex is contained in both V_1 and V_2 . Since, again, our distance is metric, so there must exist a circumference C_1 (C_2 , resp.) for V_1 (V_2 , resp.) in R', i.e., distance exactly $\log n$ from P'_i for i = 1, 2

in R'. Since every vertex in R' is of distance at most $\log n$ from P', this implies that C_i is contained in V_{3-i} for i=1,2. In particular, some vertex of distance at most $2\log n$ from C which is contained in C_2 is also contained in V_1 . But again, as above, we can make a "short cut" P'' between P''_1 and P''_2 by taking a shorter curve between two endvertices of P' in $P' \cup P''$. Note that the length of curve P'' is at most $2\log n$. This completes the proof.

11 Finale

In this section, we shall finish the proof of Theorem 1.4. In order to do so, we must have a closer look at the second and the third conclusions of Lemma 9.4. For these two lemmas, G is a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case $t \geq 6$.

The following lemma is concerning the second conclusion of Lemma 9.4.

Lemma 11.1 Assume that there is a vertex set Z' of order at most t-5 in G such that G-Z' has a near embedding in sphere with no large vortices, and Z' induces a clique. Suppose that

- 1. there are ten vertices S in G_0 that have neighbors to all the vertices in Z' and that are pairwise of distance d(24,0) + 48, where d(,) comes from Theorem 9.2, and
- 2. there are seven faces F_1, \ldots, F_7 in G_0 that are pairwise of distance d(24,0) + 48, and that satisfy the following property: for each i, either
 - $|F_i|$ is odd, or
 - there is a graph W' in W that attaches to F_i with at least two vertices, such that W' contains an odd cycle C, and for some two vertices u, v in $W' \cap G_0$, there are two disjoint paths from u, v to C in W'.

Then $\mathcal{W} = \emptyset$.

Proof: By Lemma 7.5, there is no graph in \mathcal{W} that is bipartite (because $|Z'| \leq t-5$). Suppose that there is a face C that accommodates a non-bipartite graph $W \in W$ in G'_0 . By minimality, we can (t-1)-color $G - (W - (W \cap G_0))$. This yields a coloring of Z' and $W \cap G_0$. So we can partition $Z' \cup (W \cap G_0)$ into color classes V_1, \ldots, V_l , where $l \geq |Z'|$ because Z' is a clique. Let us assume that $W \cap G_0$ are contained in $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$. We shall, in $G - (W - (W \cap G_0))$, identify each of V_1, V_2, V_3 into a single point, via the odd-minor-operations, such that there is an edge between any two V_i, V_j , as in the proof of Lemma 9.3 (note that Z' is a clique of order at most t-5). This allows us to (t-1)-color W whose coloring is consistent with the coloring of $G - (W - (W \cap G_0))$ (and hence we obtain a (t-1)-coloring of the whole graph, a contradiction). It remains to show that such a reduction exists.

By our assumptions, we may assume that

- there are three vertices $S' = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ in S that are of distance at least d(24, 0) + 48 from W,
- there are six faces (out of the seven faces F_1, \ldots, F_7), say, F_1, \ldots, F_6 , that are of distance at least d(24,0) + 48 from W, and
- distance between any vertex in S' and any face in F_1, \ldots, F_6 is at least d(24,0) + 48.

As in the proof of Lemma 9.4, for each face O of F_1, \ldots, F_6 , we take three neighbors u, v, w of O (such that there are three independent edges between u, v, w and O) and delete F_1, \ldots, F_6 from G'_0 . Let F'_i be the resulting cuff (containing exactly three vertices u, v, w). Again, as in the proof of Lemma 9.4, by Lemma 8.7, this results in making distance smaller, but only $4 \times 2 \times 6 = 48$ for distance between any two vertices in the remaining graph G' of G'_0 .

We now consider the following twelve disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_6, P'_1, \ldots, P'_6$ in G': connect v_i and F'_i for i = 1, 2, 3 to obtain paths P_i , connect F'_i and F'_{i+3} for i = 1, 2, 3 to obtain paths P'_i , connect (F'_4, F'_5) , (F'_5, F'_6) , (F'_6, F'_4) to obtain paths P'_4, P'_5, P'_6 , and connect F'_i and $C \cap W$ to obtain paths P_{i+3} for i = 1, 2, 3. By Theorem 9.2, such twelve disjoint paths must exist.

Now it is straightforward to see that $P_i \cup P_{i+3} \cup F_i$, together with P'_1, \ldots, P'_6 , leads to identify each of V_1, V_2, V_3 into a single point, via the odd-minor-relations, such that there is an edge between any two V_i, V_j for $i, j \leq 3$ (by the paths P'_1, \ldots, P'_6). Moreover, each of V_1, V_2, V_3 has an edge to V_j for $j \geq 4$ because $P_i \cup P_{i+3} \cup F_i$ contains one vertex in S. This completes the proof.

The following lemma is concerning the third conclusion of Lemma 9.4.

Lemma 11.2 Assume that there is a vertex set Z' of order at least t-3 such that Z' induces a clique, and with $Z' \subset Z$, G-Z has a nearly embedding in a surface Σ of Euler genus g.

Suppose furthermore that there is a set of $l \ge 1$ disks D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_l that are bounded by closed curves C'_1, \ldots, C'_l , such that if we delete all the graphs inside these disks, then

- the resulting graph of G'_0 in the surface has no odd faces and has representativity at least d(6,g), if Σ is not sphere, and
- there is no graph W' in W that attaches to a face in the resulting graph of G_0 , such that W' contains an odd cycle C, and for any some vertices u, v in $W' \cap G_0$, there are two disjoint paths from u, v to C in W'.

Then there are no five vertices in G_0 nor five components in Q that are pairwise of distance at least d(6,g), that are of distance at least d(6,g) from any of the disks D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_l , and that have neighbors to all the vertices in Z'. Note that d(,) comes from Theorem 9.2.

Proof: Suppose there are five vertices $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5\} = S$ in G_0 that are of distance at least d(6, g) from any of the disks D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_l , and that have neighbors to all the vertices in Z'. We only consider the case when |Z'| = t - 3, as other cases easily follow from this most difficult case. Moreover, the proof for the case for the five components in Q is exactly the same. Indeed, as remarked right after Lemma 9.1, there is one vertex in each component of Q that is adjacent to all the vertices of Z'. We can think of this vertex as S, and the same argument below can apply³. So we only consider S in G_0 . Let us consider one face F of odd size in G_0 (so this face is in one of the disks).

Note that the distance d(,) can be only defined for either the sphere with at least three cuffs or the embedding in a surface (with positive Euler genus) with representativity at least d(6,g). So our assumption implies that we assume either of these two situations.

Let \hat{G} be obtained from G_0 by deleting all the graphs inside the disks D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_l that are bounded by closed curves C'_1, \ldots, C'_l . So every face in \hat{G} is of even size.

Suppose \hat{G} is bipartite. Then the idea is to first pick up three vertices $S' \subset S$ such that S' are contained in the same partite set of the bipartite graph \hat{G} , and then find three disjoint paths from S' to F. In this way, we obtain an odd K_3 -model (in G - Z') with the three vertices (in S') in three different nodes of the odd K_3 -model. This odd K_3 -model, together with Z', gives rise to an odd K_t -model because every vertex in S' is adjacent to all the vertices in Z'.

This can be achieved by first taking some three vertices a_1, a_2, a_3 in F. Then if there are three disjoint paths from S' to a_1, a_2, a_3 that are internally disjoint from F (except for their end vertices), we are done. Indeed, such three paths exist by Theorem 9.2 (by choosing appropriate three vertices a_1, a_2, a_3).

It remains to consider the case when G is not bipartite. In this case, we need some notations.

³Strictly speaking, if |Z'| = t - 2, we need to say "ten components" (instead of five as in Lemma 9.1) in the conclusion. But this does not make difference, so we do not bother to change it. We do not deal with the case |Z'| = t - 1 here. We refer the reader to the remark right after the proof of Lemma 11.2 for the case when |Z'| = t - 1.

We are now given a surface Σ with disks D_1, \ldots, D_l , and we consider an embedding σ of G_0 induced by \hat{G} such that every face is of even size. Two curves P_1, P_2 with the same endvertices in σ are called homoplastic if there is a homeomorphism $\alpha : \Sigma \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ such that

- (i) $\alpha(x) = x$ for all $x \in bd(\Sigma)$, and
- (ii) the curve $\alpha(P_1)$ is homotopic to P_2 in Σ .

The equivalence classes of this equivalence relation are called *homoplasty classes*. We can also define *homoplastic* and *homoplasty classes* for closed curves in Σ .

For any two closed curves P_1 , P_2 with the same endvertices in σ , if they are homotopic, then parity of P_1 is the same as that of P_2 , because $P_1 \cup P_2$ bounds a disk and the graph inside this disk is an induced bipartite graph (since each face is of even size). We claim that the same conclusion holds even if P_1 and P_2 are homoplastic. Again, $P_1 \cup P_2$ bounds a chain of disks from one endvertex to the other endvertex, and graphs inside these disks are induced bipartite, so parity of P_1 is the same as that of P_2 . The same argument can be applied to the case when two closed curves are either homotopic or homoplastic.

Let us consider the graph inside the disk D_1 . It contains the odd face F. We take three disjoint paths from F to $V(D_1)$ such that they do not intersect F except for the endpoints. It is straightforward to see that such paths exist in the graph inside the disk D_1 . Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be the endpoints of these three paths in $V(D_1)$.

Now pick up five faces F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5 such that s_i is in F_i for i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. By the above observation, we know that disks D_1, \ldots, D_l and faces F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5 define homoplasty classes with respect to paths with endvertices in $D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_l \cup F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3 \cup F_4 \cup F_5$. We first figure out the parity of paths from s_i to v_1, v_2, v_3 . By pigeon whole principle, we may assume that we can specify three disjoint paths P_i from s_i to v_i for i = 1, 2, 3, with the same parity (by choosing appropriate homoplasty class, because \hat{G} is not bipartite), and by Theorem 9.2, we can find such three disjoint paths P_1, P_2, P_3 with this specified parity. Because the graph inside the disk D_1 contains the odd face F and the above three disjoint paths from F to $V(D_1)$, three disjoint paths P_1, P_2, P_3 allow us to obtain an odd K_3 -model (in G - Z') with the three vertices (v_1, v_2, v_3) in three different nodes of the odd K_3 -model. This odd K_3 -model, together with Z', gives rise to an odd K_t -model because every vertex in S is adjacent to all the vertices in Z'.

Let us observe that the same proof still holds in Lemma 11.2 even if l = 0, but G - Z' is not bipartite. We just need the three disjoint paths so that we obtain an odd K_3 -model (in G - Z') with some three vertices (in S) in three different nodes of the odd K_3 -model. This is exactly the same as the above proof, so we omit the details.

We also remark that if |Z'| = t - 1, then we can show that either there is an odd K_t -model or the conclusion of Lemma 11.2 holds. To see this, let us first remark that there is no vertex in G_0 that is adjacent to all the vertices in Z'. Let us also note that if one partite set of Q sees all t - 1 vertices in Z', we can contract this partite set into one to obtain an odd K_t -minor. Otherwise, we can show that either A_1 or B_1 sees at least t - 3 vertices in Z_1 , by following the remark right after Lemma 9.1 (since we only need case-analysis, we omit details).

So one component Q_1 of Q can be contracted into a single point v' so that it sees all but at most two vertices (say a, b) in Z_1 . Take two more components $Q_2, Q_3 \in Q$. We try to connect v' to both Q_2 and Q_3 so that we can obtain edges v'a, v'b, via odd-minor-operations. To do this, we first apply Lemma 9.4 to confirm that the assumption of Lemma 11.2 is satisfied with $l \leq 3$. We then apply the first half of the above proof of Lemma 11.2 to Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 (using either an odd face or odd non-contractible cycles in the surface) to obtain an odd K_t -minor. Since the proof is identical to the first half of that given in Lemma 11.2, we omit the proof.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let us first point out that we may assume that a given graph G is a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case $t \geq 6$. For otherwise, we can perform a reduction. Then we apply the whole argument to the resulting graph. So we now assume that there is no more reduction for G.

We first apply Theorem 5.1 to G with k = t and $\delta = 100000\alpha^6 2^{2\alpha} \times d(3t, g)$. Note that if 1 or 2 of Theorem 1.4 happens, we are done.

So we obtain a tree-decomposition (T, Y), as in Theorem 5.1. If tree-width of G is at most $100000\alpha^5 2^{\alpha} \times d(3t, g) \log n$, we are done.

There are two cases:

Case 1. There is a bag Y_t which is nearly bipartite (i.e., the first conclusion in Theorem 5.1).

Apply Lemma 7.10 to confirm that the number of children bags of Y_t is at most $\alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$. This indeed confirms the degree condition on Y_t in the second conclusion of 3 in Theorem 1.4.

Case 2. There is a a bag Y_t which is α -near embedded (i.e., the second conclusion in Theorem 5.1).

For simplicity, we use G_0 for the surface part of an α -near embedding of Y_t . We also follow the notations for α -near embeddings $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V} \text{ etc})$.

For each $G_i \in \mathcal{W}$, if there is an induced connected bipartite graph Q in G_i such that Q is an induced block, then we take such a bipartite graph. More precisely, if we take a block decomposition of G_i (i.e., take a tree-decomposition (T,Y) such that for each $tt' \in T$, $|Y_t \cap Y_{t'}| = 1$), then we take all Y_t that are bipartite. Note that G_i may contain two or more such induced bipartite graphs. Let Q be the union of these induced bipartite blocks in W.

For each $G_i \in \mathcal{W}$, let us also take an induced subgraph R which contains an odd cycle and which does not contain a subgraph in \mathcal{Q} . Let R be the union of these induced subgraphs in \mathcal{W} . Apply Lemma 9.3 to obtain the conclusion as in Lemma 9.3, which, by Lemma 8.2, implies that there are at most $l \leq 2^{\alpha}\alpha^2$ disks $\mathcal{D}' = \{D_1, \ldots, D_l\}$ (that are bounded by closed curves C'_1, \ldots, C'_l) that cover all the components in R, such that each graph in a disk in \mathcal{D}' is a vortex of depth $2^{\alpha+1}\alpha^2 \times d(3t, g)$.

Let us observe that each component Q in Q has at least t-2 neighbors to Z. For otherwise, take a vertex v in $Q-G_0$, and delete all edges incident with v, except for the ones in E(Q). Contract these edges into a single point. Let G' be the resulting graph. By minimality, G' has a (t-1)-coloring which can be extended to Q.

Let U be a vertex set in G_0 such that each vertex in U has at least t-6 neighbors in Z (at least t-5 neighbors in Z when Σ is sphere).

We need to consider two cases:

Case 2.1. $|U| + |Q| > 2\alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$, and either U or Q contains twenty one elements that are pairwise of distance d(30, g) + 180, where d(,) comes from Theorem 9.2. Note that $d'(g) \ge d(30, g) + 180$ in Lemma 9.4.

In this case, if $|\mathcal{Q}| \geq \alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$, then by Lemma 9.1, we can reduce a subset of Z to a clique Z_1 of order at least t-3, via odd-minor-operations. Let $\mathcal{Q}' \subset \mathcal{Q}$ be the components that are used to create Z_1 via odd-minor-operations. By Lemma 9.1, there are at least $\alpha^2/2$ elements in $\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{Q}'$ that have neighbors to all of the vertices in the clique in Z_1 (note that no neighbors of each component in \mathcal{Q}' is in $Z - Z_1$). Let them be \mathcal{Q}'' .

By the same argument, if $|U| \ge \alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$, we can still reduce subset of Z to a clique Z_1 of order at most t-1, via odd-minor-operations. Let $\hat{U} \subset U$ be the vertices that are used to create Z_1 via odd-minor-operations. Again, by the same argument, there are at least $\alpha^2/2$ vertices in $U-\hat{U}$ that have neighbors to all of the vertices in the clique in Z_1 . Let them be \hat{U}' .

Following, we assume one of the following happens:

(i) Z_1 is obtained from \mathcal{Q}' (so $|Z_1| \geq t - 3$ by Lemma 9.1), and there are twenty one elements in \mathcal{Q}'' that are pairwise of distance d(30, g) + 180, or

(ii) Z_1 is obtained from \hat{U} , and $|Z_1| \ge t - 6$ (or $|Z_1| \ge t - 5$ when Σ is sphere). Moreover, there are twenty one vertices in \hat{U}' that are pairwise of distance d(30, g) + 180.

In case (ii), apply Lemma 9.4 with all the cliques that satisfy Z_1 . Since $|Z| \leq \alpha$, there are at most α^t such cliques. Similarly, in case (i), we shall apply Lemma 9.4 with all the cliques that satisfy Z_1 . Note that by Lemma 9.1, $|Z| \geq t - 3$. Let us give more details for this case. If $|Z_1| = t - 2$ or t - 3, then as remarked right after the proof of Lemma 9.1, there is a vertex v in Q that is adjacent to at least t - 3 neighbors of Z_1 . So we can apply Lemma 9.4 with these vertices that have at least t - 3 neighbors in Z_1 .

Note that our condition on δ guarantees that we can apply Lemma 9.4. If we obtain the third conclusion for some cliques, we apply Lemma 11.2. Note that if the first conclusion happens for some clique, we are done.

Suppose the second conclusion of Lemma 9.4 holds for some clique. Note that $\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$. From Lemmas 11.1 and 8.2, then the following holds: either

- 1. $\mathcal{W} = \emptyset$ and $|Z_1| \leq t 5$, or
- 2. there are at most six disks \mathcal{D}_1 whose interior are of radius at most 6(d(24,0) + 24), such that no odd face in G_0 is outside these disks. Moreover, there is no graph W' in W that attaches to a face (with at least two vertices) outside these disks, such that W' contains an odd cycle C, and for some two vertices u, v in $W' \cap G_0$, there are two disjoint paths from u, v to C in W'.

Note that our condition on δ guarantees that we can apply Lemma 11.1. In the former case, we first (t-5)-color Z_1 . This gives rise to a coloring of Z. We can then 4-color G-Z by the Four Color Theorem, because G-Z is planar, and the fact $|Z_1| \leq t-5$ implies that Z only uses (t-5)-colors (so G is (t-1)-colorable, and we are done). So if there is one clique Z_1 that satisfies the former case, we are done. So we may assume that this would not happen for all cliques that satisfy Z_1 as in (ii).

Suppose the third conclusion of Lemma 9.4 holds for some cliques. By Lemma 9.1, if we apply case (i), then $|Z_1| \ge t-3$. But on the other hand, if we apply case (ii), then $|Z_1|$ may be t-4 or t-5 or t-6. Below, we are applying Lemma 11.2 (Again, our condition on δ guarantees that we can apply Lemma 11.2). Therefore, if we apply case (ii), then let $\hat{U}_1 \subset \hat{U}$ be a vertex set that creates the clique Z_1 of order at least t-3, and let $\hat{U}'_1 \subset \hat{U}'$ be a vertex set that have neighbors to all of the vertices in the clique Z_1 .

We only deal with the case when $|Z_1| = t - 2$ or t - 3. Note that as remarked right after in the proof of Lemma 9.1, if $|Z_1| = t - 2$ or t - 3, there is a vertex in each component of $\mathcal{Q}' \cup \mathcal{Q}''$ that is adjacent to at least t - 3 vertices in $|Z_1| = t - 1$, as remarked right after Lemma 11.2, we have at most two disks that cover all the components in $|\mathcal{Q}' \cup \mathcal{Q}''|$. So we only focus on the cases $|Z_1| \leq t - 2$.

From Lemmas 9.4, 11.2 and 8.2, the following holds: there is a set of $l \le 20$ disks $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_l\}$ such that, graphs inside these disks yield a vortex of depth $40(d(30, g) + 180) + 2\alpha^2$ (these constants come from Lemma 9.4), and if we delete all the graphs inside these disks, then

- the resulting graph in the surface has no odd faces, and
- there is no graph W' in W that attaches to a face in the resulting graph, such that W' contains an odd cycle C, and for some two vertices u, v in $W' \cap G_0$, there are two disjoint paths from u, v to C in W'.

Moreover, for each clique Z_1 , either

- (a) there are another four disks \mathcal{D}_3 whose interior are of radius at most 4d(6,g), that cover all the vertices in all components in \mathcal{Q}'' , or
- (b) there are another four disks \mathcal{D}_3 whose interior are of radius at most 4d(6,g), that cover all the vertices in \hat{U}'_1 .

As mentioned above, we apply the above arguments for all the cliques Z_1 as in (i) and (ii). We may also interchange Q' and Q'' (also \hat{U} and \hat{U}'); namely we construct the clique Z_1 via odd-minor-operation from components in Q''. Let $\mathcal{D}'_1, \mathcal{D}'_2, \mathcal{D}'_3$ be the unions of $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{D}_3$, respectively.

So in summary, by applying Lemma 8.2 if some disks in $\mathcal{D}'_1 \cup \mathcal{D}'_2 \cup \mathcal{D}'$ have overlaps, the following holds: there is a set of $l \leq 1000\alpha^{t+2}2^{\alpha}$ disks $\mathcal{D}'_1 \cup \mathcal{D}'_2 \cup \mathcal{D}' = \{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_l\}$ such that, graphs inside these disks yield a vortex of depth $10000\alpha^{t+5}2^{2\alpha} \times d(3t,g)$, and if we delete all the graphs inside these disks, then

- the resulting graph in the surface has no odd faces, and
- there is no graph W' in W that attaches to a face in the resulting graph, such that W' contains an odd cycle C, and for some two vertices u, v in $W' \cap G_0$, there are two disjoint paths from u, v to C in W'.

In addition, from (a) and Lemmas 9.1 and 11.2, we obtain the following:

There are at most $\alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$ components in \mathcal{Q} that attach to $G_0 - (\mathcal{D}'_1 \cup \mathcal{D}'_2 \cup \mathcal{D}')$. Let \mathcal{D}'' be the disks that cover all components in \mathcal{Q} in $G_0 - \mathcal{D}'_1 - \mathcal{D}'_2 - \mathcal{D}'$. So each disk in \mathcal{D}'' is of radius one

By our choice, for each vertex x in $G_0 - (\mathcal{D}'_1 \cup \mathcal{D}'_2 \cup \mathcal{D}' \cup \mathcal{D}'')$, its neighbors in G - Z form an independent set. So x has to have at least t - 2 neighbors in Z (For otherwise, just delete all edges from x to Z, and contract all other edges incident with x. Let G' be the resulting graph. By minimality, G' has a (t-1)-coloring, and this coloring can be easily extended to x, a contradiction). If there are more than $\alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$ such vertices T, then as argued before, we can obtain a clique Z_2 in Z via odd-minor-operations (only using these vertices). By (b), we know that $|Z_2| \leq t - 4$. Let $\hat{T} \subset T$ be the vertices to create this clique Z_2 . For each vertex $t \in \hat{T}$, we pick up two neighbors t_1, t_2 in Z that would be contracted into a single vertex (to create the clique Z_2). We then delete all edges whose endpoints are t and a vertex in Z, except for tt_1, tt_2 . Then contract remaining edges incident with t into a single vertex. Let G' be the resulting graph. Again by minimality, G' has a (t-1)-coloring, and this coloring can be easily extended to each t, a contradiction. Thus it follows that

there are at most $\alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$ vertices in $G_0 - (\mathcal{D}'_1 \cup \mathcal{D}'_2 \cup \mathcal{D}' \cup \mathcal{D}'' \cup \mathcal{D}_V)$,

where \mathcal{D}_V consists of the disks that accommodates vortices in \mathcal{V} . So each disk in \mathcal{D}_V is of radius one, and $|\mathcal{D}_V| \leq \alpha$.

Moreover G consists of the followings:

Z, at most $\alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$ vertices in $G_0 - (\mathcal{D}_1' \cup \mathcal{D}_2' \cup \mathcal{D}' \cup \mathcal{D}'')$ and graphs inside the disks $\mathcal{D}_1' \cup \mathcal{D}_2' \cup \mathcal{D}'' \cup \mathcal{D}_1'' \cup \mathcal{D}_2'' \cup \mathcal{D}_2''$

We shall later prove that such a graph is of tree-width at most $c \log n$ for some constant c that only depends on t. Note that there may be two disks in $\mathcal{D}'_1 \cup \mathcal{D}'_2 \cup \mathcal{D}' \cup \mathcal{D}'' \cup D_V$, but by Lemma 8.2, the number of disjoint disks in $\mathcal{D}'_1 \cup \mathcal{D}'_2 \cup \mathcal{D}' \cup \mathcal{D}'' \cup D_V$ is at most $10000\alpha^{t+2}2^{\alpha}$, and graphs inside these disks yield a vortex of depth of depth at most $100000\alpha^{t+5}2^{2\alpha} \times d(3t,g)$.

Case 2.2. $|U|+|\mathcal{Q}| \leq 2|Z|^2 2^{|Z|}$ or both U or \mathcal{Q} does not contain twenty one elements that are pairwise of distance d(30,g)+180, where d(,) comes from Theorem 9.2.

Let \mathcal{D}_5 be the faces of G_0 that cover all the vertices in U and all the elements of \mathcal{Q} . By the assumption of Case 2.2, $|\mathcal{D}_5| \leq 2|Z|^2 2^{|Z|}$.

Apply Lemma 10.2 to G_0 , with $\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}_5, \mathcal{D}_V$ (as in Case 2.1.). Let \hat{W} be a graph obtained from Lemma 10.2. Note that, by Lemma 8.2, the number of disks is at most $100000\alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$, and each disk can accommodate a vortex of depth at most $100000\alpha^5 2^{2\alpha} \times d(3t,g)$.

Let us observe that, at this moment, there are no graphs in W outside the disks. So $G_0 - Z_2 - \hat{W}$ is planar.

We now assume the following:

 $G_0 - \hat{W}$ is not empty.

Again, in this case, we are trying to make a reduction. For each vertex x in $G_0 - \hat{W}$ that has three neighbors in \hat{W} , we first delete all incident edges of x, except for the edges with endpoints in \hat{W} . We select two neighbors of x in W that are independent, and delete one more edge whose endpoint is not in these two neighbors (if x has three neighbors in W). We then contract the two edges, together with x, into one. This is possible (for otherwise, there is a separating triangle T in G_0 . Then any coloring of $T \cup Z$ can be extended to a (t-1)-coloring of interior by Corollary 6.2. Note that each vertex in $G_0 - W - Z$ has at most t - 6 neighbors in Z). Let \hat{W}' be the resulting graph. Note that $G_0 - Z - \hat{W}'$ is planar, but may have more than one components. Let $G_P = G' - (G_0 - Z - \hat{W}')$. By the minimality of G (and since $G_0 - \hat{W}$ is not empty), G_P has a (t-1)-coloring. Let us uncontract each x. Now the coloring of \hat{W}' also gives rise to a (t-1)-coloring of \hat{W} such that each x (in $G_0 - \hat{W}$ that has three neighbors in \hat{W}) sees at most two colors in the boundary of \hat{W} . This means that every vertex outside \hat{W} but having a neighbor in \hat{W} has three admissible colors (because each vertex in $G_0 - \hat{W} - Z$ has at most t-6 neighbors in Z). Since $G_0-Z-\hat{W}$ is planar and since each x is in the outer face boundary of the planar graph, each vertex in the outer face boundary of $G_0 - Z - \hat{W}$ (which may have neighbors to \hat{W}) has three admissible colors, and every vertex in $G_0 - Z - \hat{W}$ that is not in the outer face boundary of $G_0 - Z - \hat{W}$ has five admissible colors (because it does not have a neighbor in \hat{W}). So by Theorem 6.1, we can color $G_0 - Z - \hat{W}$ that is consistent with the (t-1)-coloring of $\hat{W} \cup Z$ (i.e., we can extend a (t-1)-coloring of $\hat{W} \cup Z$ to a (t-1)-coloring of the whole graph). So we obtain a (t-1)-coloring of the whole graph G, a contradiction.

So far, we can find a reduction, i.e., what we have shown is the following:

If $G_0 - \hat{W} - Z$ has at least $\alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$ vertices, then we can perform the reduction, i.e, we obtain the graph \hat{W}' from \hat{W} as above, and then we can delete all the vertices in $G_0 - \hat{W}' - Z$, because any (t-1)-coloring of $G - (G_0 - \hat{W}' - Z)$ can be extended to a (t-1)-coloring of the whole graph G. This shows that G is no longer a minimal counterexample to the odd Hadwiger's conjecture for the case t.

It remains to consider the case when $G_0 - W - \hat{Z}$ does not have $\alpha^2 2^{\alpha}$ vertices. We will discuss this case for both Cases 2.1 and 2.2 simultaneously. By Lemma 10.2,

tree-width of G_0 is less than $10000\alpha^5 2^{2\alpha} \times d(3t,g) \log n$, where d(,) comes from Theorem 9.2.

We will show that under this situation, Theorem 1.4 is satisfied.

We now start constructing a tree-decomposition (T',Y') of Y_t with tree-with at most $10000\alpha^6 2^{2\alpha} \times d(3t,g) \log n$ such that

- (a) all children of Y_t is attached to a single bag $Y'_t \in Y'$, and
- (b) the intersection between the parent bag $Y_{t'}$ of Y_t and Y_t is also contained in one single bag $Y'_{t'} \in Y'$ (for some $t' \in T'$).

If we obtain such a tree-decomposition, we obtain the structure as in Theorem 1.4.

Let us start a tree-decomposition (T'', Y'') of G''_0 which is obtained from G'_0 by adding edges between adjacent society vertices w^i_j, w^i_{j+1} of a large vortex (G_i, Ω_i) . So $w^i_j, w^i_{j+1} \in \Omega_i$ and they are consecutive in the cyclic order of Ω_i . It is straightforward to see that tree-width of G''_0 is still at most $1000000\alpha^5 2^{\alpha} \times 10^{-3}$

 $d(3t,g)\log n$ (indeed, when we construct W as above, we can start this resulting cuff. Then the rest of the arguments is the exactly same).

So, we can find such a tree-decomposition (T'', Y'') of G''_0 in polynomial time by Theorem 3.1 because g, d(3t, g) are constants.

Let us construct a tree-decomposition (T', Y') of Y_t from the tree-decomposition (T'', Y'') of G_0'' . We first add the large vortices \mathcal{V} . This only increases the width by the factor α because we only need to expand each vertex of Y_t'' to the subgraph of order α . Then add Z to each bag of Y_t'' . This only increases the width by the additive factor α .

So tree-width of the resulting decomposition is at most $100000\alpha^6 2^{2\alpha} \times d(3t, g) \log n$. Then (a) is satisfied because of 2 in Theorem 5.1. Also (b) is satisfied because Z is contained in every bag of $Y'_t \in Y'$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

References

- [1] K. Appel and W. Haken, Every planar map is four colorable. I. Discharging, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, **21** (1977), 429–490.
- [2] K. Appel, W. Haken, and J. Koch, Every planar map is four colorable. II. Reducibility, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, **21** (1977), 491–567.
- [3] S. Arnborg and A. Proskurowski, Linear time algorithms for NP-hard problems restricted to partial k-trees, Discrete Appl. Math. 23 (1989), 11–24.
- [4] H. L. Bodlaender, A linear-time algorithm for finding tree-decomposition of small treewidth, SIAM J. Comput., 25 (1996), 1305-1317.
- [5] T. Böhme, K. Kawarabayashi, J. Maharry, B. Mohar, Linear connectivity forces large complete bipartite minors, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **99** (2009), 557–582.
- [6] P. A. Catlin, A bound on the chromatic number of a graph, Discrete Math 22, (1978), 81–83.
- [7] E. D. Demaine, M. Hajiaghayi and K. Kawarabayashi, Algorithmic graph minor theory: Decomposition, approximation, and coloring, 46th Annual Sumposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS 2005), (2005) 637–646.
- [8] E. D. Demaine, M. Hajiaghayi and K. Kawarabayashi, Decomposition, approximation, and coloring of odd-minor-free graphs, ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA'10), 329–344.
- [9] M. DeVos, K. Kawarabayashi and B. Mohar, Locally planar graphs are 5-choosable, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 98 (2008), 1215–1232.
- [10] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2000.
- [11] R. Diestel, K. Kawarabayashi, T. Müller, and P. Wollan, On the structure theorem of the excluded minor theorem of large tree-width, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **102** (2012), 1189–1210.
- [12] G. A. Dirac, A property of 4-chromatic graphs and some remarks on critical graphs, J. London Math. Soc., 27 (1952), 85–92.
- [13] Z. Dvořák and K. Kawarabayashi, List-coloring embedded graphs, ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms(SODA'13), 1004–1012.
- [14] D. Eppstein, Diameter and treewidth in minor-closed graph families, Algorithmica, 27 (2000), 275–291.
- [15] J. Geelen, B. Gerards, B. Reed, P. Seymour and A. Vetta, On the odd variant of Hadwiger's conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 99 (2009), 20–29.
- [16] B. Gerards, An extension of Konig's theorem to graphs with no odd-K₄. J. of Combin. Theory, Ser. B 47 (1989), 330–348.
- [17] M. Grohe, K. Kawarabayashi and B. Reed, A simpler algorithm for the graph minor decomposition Logic meets Structural graph theory –, ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, (SODA'13), 414–431.

- [18] B. Guenin, A characterization of weakly bipartite graphs, in *Integer Programming and Combinatorial optimization*, Proceedings, 6 th IPCO conference, Houston, Texas, 1998, (R.E. Bixby et al., Eds), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol 1412, 9–22. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [19] B. Guenin, A characterization of weakly bipartite graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 83 (2001) 112–168.
- [20] B. Guenin, Talk at Oberwolfach on Graph Theory, Jan. 2005.
- [21] H. Hadwiger, Über eine Klassifikation der Streckenkomplexe, Vierteljahrsschr. naturforsch. Ges. Zürich 88 (1943), 133–142.
- [22] K. Jansen and P. Scheffler, Generalized coloring of tree-like graphs, Discerte Applied Math., 75 (1997), 119–130.
- [23] T. R. Jensen and B. Toft, Graph Coloring Problems, Wiley-Interscience, 1995.
- [24] K. Kawarabayashi, Note on coloring graphs with no odd- K_k -minors, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 99 (2009), 738–741.
- [25] K. Kawarabayashi and B. Toft, Any 7-chromatic graph has K_7 or $K_{4,4}$ as a minor, Combinatorica, 25 (2005), 327–353.
- [26] K. Kawarabayashi and Z. Song, Some remarks on the odd Hadwiger's conjecture, Combinatorica, 27 (2007), 429–438.
- [27] K. Kawarabayashi and B. Mohar, Approximating the chromatic number and the list-chromatic number of minor-closed family of graphs and odd-minor-closed family of graphs, In Proceedings of the 38th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC'06), 401–416.
- [28] K. Kawarabayashi and B. Reed, Hadwiger's Conjecture is decidable, the 41st ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC'09), 445–454.
- [29] K. Kawarabayashi and P. Wollan, A simpler algorithm and shorter proof for the graph minor decomposition, the 43rd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC'11), 451–458.
- [30] K. Kawarabayashi, Y. Kobayashi and B. Reed, The disjoint paths problem in quadratic time, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 102 (2012), 424–435.
- [31] K. Kawarabayashi, B. Reed and P. Wollan, The graph minor algorithm with parity conditions, *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'11)*, 27–36, 2011.
- [32] K. Kawarabayashi, E. Demaine and M. Hajiaghayi, Additive approximation algorithms for list-coloring minor-closed class of graphs ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, (SODA'09), 1166–1175.
- [33] A. Kostochka, Lower bound of the Hadwiger number of graphs by their average degree, Combinatorica, 4 (1984), 307–316.
- [34] W. Mader, Existenz n-fach zusammenhängender Teilgraphen in Graphen genügend grosser Kantendichte, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 37 (1972), 86–97.
- [35] B. Mohar and C. Thomassen, Graphs on Surfaces, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2001.
- [36] L. Pérković and B. Reed, An improved algorithm for finding tree decompositions of small width; *International Journal on the Foundations of Computing Science.*, **11**, (2000), 81–85.
- [37] B. Reed, Finding approximate separators and computing tree width quickly, In the 24th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing(STOC'92).
- [38] N. Robertson, D. P. Sanders, P. D. Seymour and R. Thomas, The four-color theorem, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 70 (1997), 2–44.
- [39] N. Robertson and P.D. Seymour, Graph minors VII. Disjoint paths on a surface, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 45 (1988) 212–254.
- [40] N. Robertson and P.D. Seymour, Graph minors. IX: Disjoint crossed paths, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 49 (1990), 40–77.
- [41] N. Robertson and P.D. Seymour, Graph minors. X: Obstructions to tree-Decomposition, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 52 (1991), 153–190.
- [42] N. Robertson and P.D. Seymour, Graph minors. XI: Circuits on a Surface, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 60 (1994), 72–106.

- [43] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour, Graph minors. XII. Distance on a surface, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 64 (1995), 240–272.
- [44] N. Robertson and P.D. Seymour, Graph minors XIII. The disjoint paths problems. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 63 (1995), 65–110.
- [45] N. Robertson and P.D. Seymour, Graph minors. XVI: Excluding a non-planar graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 89 (2003), 43–76.
- [46] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour, Graph minors. XX. Wagner's conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 92 (2004), 325–357.
- [47] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour, Graph Minors XXIII, Nash-Williams' immersion conjecture, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, 100 (2010), 181–205.
- [48] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour, An outline of a disjoint paths algorithm, in: "Paths, Flows, and VLSI-Layout," B. Korte, L. Lovász, H. J. Prömel, and A. Schrijver (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, pp. 267–292.
- [49] N. Robertson, P. D. Seymour and R. Thomas, Hadwiger's conjecture for K₆-free graphs, Combinatorica, 13 (1993), 279–361.
- [50] A. Schrijver: Combinatorial Optimization: Polyhedra and Efficiency, number 24 in Algorithm and Combinatorics, Springer Verlag, 2003.
- [51] A. Thomason, An extremal function for contractions of graphs, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 95 (1984), 261–265.
- [52] C. Thomassen, Every planar graph is 5-choosable, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 62 (1994), 180–181.
- [53] C. Thomassen, A simpler proof of the excluded minor theorem for higher surfaces. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 70 (1997), 306–311.
- [54] K. Wagner, Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe, Math. Ann., 114 (1937), 570-590.